

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Saturday, the 8th November 1947.

The House met in the Council Chamber, Fort St. George, at eleven of the clock, Mr. President (THE HON. SRI R. B. RAMAKRISHNA RAJU) in the Chair.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

Collection of funds for a Tamil encyclopaedia.

* Q. 141.—SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO: Will the Hon. the Premier be pleased to state—

(a) whether there are any rules governing the conduct of public servants in regard to collection of funds even for public purposes, and, if so, what they are;

(b) whether these rules are applicable to holders of all offices under the Government including the Council of Ministers;

(c) whether the Government are aware that the Hon. Minister for Education is personally interesting himself in the collection of funds for a Tamil encyclopaedia;

(d) if the answer to (c) is in the affirmative, whether the action of the Hon. Minister has been considered by the Government to be in accordance with the spirit and letter of the rules framed for the conduct of public servants; and

(e) whether the Government have considered the desirability of members of the Government not associating themselves with any movement likely to embarrass them in the discharge of their public duties?

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN (on behalf of Hon. the Premier):—“(a) the relevant rule governing the conduct of Government servants is subsidiary rule (1) of rule 6 of the Government Servants Conduct Rules and runs as follows: ‘Except with the permission of the Government or of some authority empowered in this behalf by the Government, a Government servant is forbidden to take part in the collection of money for any public or local purpose. Permission will, on application, be accorded in all cases where the Government support the institution by way of grants or otherwise.’

“(b) The Government Servants Conduct Rules are applicable only to Government servants. Members of the Council of Ministers are not Government servants.

“(c) The answer is in the affirmative.

“(d) In view of the answer to clause (b), this question does not arise.

[8th November 1947]

“(e) No. Members of the Government, i.e., Hon'ble Ministers may be expected not to associate themselves with movements likely to embarrass them in the discharge of their duties.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—“ May I know, Sir, whether the Government do not consider sponsoring of movements which involve collection of subscriptions as activities which embarrass those who undertake it in the discharge of their duties? ”

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN:—“ It depends upon the nature of the collections and the funds for which they are collected.”

Prices of pulses in this Province.

* Q. 142.—SRI P. VEERABHADRASWAMI: Will the Hon. the Minister for Food be pleased to state—

(a) whether the prices were fixed for green, red and blackgrams in the month of May 1947;

(b) whether the Government have revised the prices after the lapse of three months;

(c) if not, why not;

(d) whether the Government have got information about the prices of these pulses in other provinces and the states;

(e) whether there is a great disparity between the prices they have fixed for the districts and the prices prevailing in other provinces and the states;

(f) what is the quantity of these grams that are imported into various deficit districts (districtwar) and at what prices are they imported from outside the Madras Presidency;

(g) what are the prices at which the concerned District Collectors have issued sale orders for imports referred to in clause (f);

(h) whether the Government are issuing permits for export of the various kinds of pulses from surplus districts to deficit districts; if so, how much has been exported since June 1947;

(i) whether the Government have received representations that pulses are getting deteriorated on account of their not being allowed to be exported to deficit districts;

(j) if so, what action they have taken on such representations;

(k) whether the Hon. Minister is aware that the District Collector, Kistna, has fixed Rs. 14-11-0 for a maund of blackgram while the prevailing market-rate in the district is Rs. 23 a maund as the sales of the Masulipatam and Gudivada Co-operative Stores and Mudunooru P.C.C. Society disclose; and

(l) in view of this whether the Government propose to instruct the District Collector, Kistna, to fix the export rate of blackgram on the basis of the prevailing rate in the district?

8th November 1947]

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“(a) The Government have fixed the ceiling prices of redgram, blackgram and greengram in middle of June 1947.

“(b) Yes.

“(c) Does not arise.

“(d) & (e) Information is available only for some areas. But so far as information is available, the prices of blackgram in Central Provinces, Eastern States and Hyderabad are lower than those fixed for the districts in the Province. In Central Provinces, United Provinces and Hyderabad the price of redgram is lower than the prices fixed for the local produce. With regard to greengram no information is available on the prices prevailing in provinces and states outside Madras excepting in the case of United Provinces where the price is reported to be Rs. 14-8-0 per imperial maund as against ceiling rates varying from Rs. 14 to Rs. 16-8-0 in the districts of this Province.

“(f) The quantities of redgram, blackgram and greengram imported into this Province on Government account from 15th June 1947 are as follows (districtwar) :—

Source.	Importing centre.	Quantity (dholl). TONS.	Price per imperial maund. RS. A. P.	
<i>Redgram.</i>				
1 Rampur	Madras Provincial reserve.	677	24 7 0	f.o.r. Rampur (Redgram dholl superior variety).
2 United Provinces.	Do.	100	21 8 0	f.o.r. despatching station (redgram dholl).
3 Kalahandi	Malabar ..	113	9 8 0	Redgram f.o.r. Kalahandi.
Total ..		890		

Blackgram.

1 Hyderabad	Madras Provincial reserve.	1,799	15 10 8	f.o.r. Hyderabad.
2 Eastern States.	Do.	176	12 10 9	f.o.r. despatching station.
3 Akalkot and Dudhni.	Do.	95	21 0 0	Do.
4 (a) Akalkot and Dudhni.	North Arcot ..	180	22 13 0	f.o.r. Madras.
(b) Madras Provincial reserve.	Do. ..	80	17 3 0	f.o.r. Madras re-exported.
5 Akalkot and Dudhni.	Coimbatore ..	180	22 13 0	Do.
Hyderabad ..	West Godavari ..	36	15 8 0	f.o.r. Hyderabad.
7 (a) Akalkot and Dudhni.	Malabar ..	200	22 13 0	f.o.r. Madras re-exp rt.
(b) Kalahandi ..	Do. ..	200	12 8 0	f.o.r. Kalahandi.

[8th November 1947]

Source.	Importing centre.	Quantity (choil.)	Price per m. and.	
		TONS.	RS. A. P.	
8 (a) Akalkot and Dudhni.	Tanjore	80	22 13 0	f.o.r. Madras re-export.
(b) Madras Provincial reserve.	Do.	100	22 13 0	Do.
9 Akalkot and Dudhni.	Trichinopoly ..	180	22 13 0	Do.
10 Hyderabad ..	Do.	20	15 10 8	f.o.r. Hyderabad.
Total		3,326		

Greengram.

1 Nabha	North Arcot ..	100	15 11 0	f.o.r. station of despatch.
	Chingleput ..	20	15 11 0	
	West Godavari ..	100	15 11 0	
	Madras	782	15 11 0	
	Nellore	28½	15 11 0	
	Salem	20½	15 11 0	
Total		1,412		

“(g) No information regarding the release prices fixed for these pulses by the Collectors of districts is readily available. But the release prices are generally fixed adding transport and other incidental charges to the import prices.

“(h) Quantities of redgram, blackgram and greengram for which permits for export to deficit districts were ordered to be granted are as follows:—

From	to	Quantity.
(a) Redgram—		TONS.
Kistna		1,610
Cuddapah		80
Nellore		160
Total		1,850

From	to	Quantity.
(b) Blackgram—		TONS.
Kistna		2,500
Guntur		200
Total		2,700

From	to	Quantity.
(c) Greengram—		TONS.
Tanjore	Trichinopoly ..	30
Vizagapatam ..	West Godavari ..	500
	M. J. bar	920
Kistna	North Arcot ..	400
	Anantapur	120
	Bellary	80
	Nilgiris	75
	Kurnool	132
	South Kanara ..	60
	West Godavari ..	150
	Madras	500
	Chingleput	20
Total		2,967

8th November 1947]

Figures regarding the quantities actually moved are not available.

“(i) & (j) In respect of redgram such representations were received from the merchants in Kistna district. The Collector of Kistna however represented that there were not sufficient stocks in his district and in fact wanted that an allotment of 600 tons made from his district to the deficit districts should be cancelled. The Board of Revenue (Civil Supplies) did not agree with him in view of the fact that the Collector would not have taken the redgram stocks available with ryots into account in assessing the surplus and directed him to arrange for the movement of the quantity to the districts expeditiously. As regards blackgram and greengram there were no such complaints.

“(k) The ceiling price for blackgram in Kistna district before 1st October 1947 was Rs. 14 per maund at village site. The revised price is Rs. 15-8-0. All the Collectors have been instructed to enforce the ceiling prices fixed and to penalize by cancellation or suspension of licence of all those who violate the ceilings under the Madras Foodgrains Control Order. It is not known how the Producers’ cum Consumers’ Co-operative Societies of Masulipatam, Gudivada and Mudunooru in Kistna district transacted at rates higher than the ceilings fixed by the Government.

“(l) No. The Government do not propose to take such a step. The Collector of Kistna has, however, been instructed to fix the export price by adding to the village-site ceiling price charges for transport, incidentals and profit.”

Selection of newspapers and periodicals for Government advertisements.

* Q. 143.—SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) the considerations which influence Government in selecting newspapers and periodicals for giving Government advertisements;

(b) the authority competent to give these advertisements;

(c) the names of newspapers and periodicals which are now in receipt of these advertisements; and

(d) whether the list is subject to revision and if so how often and who the authority competent to revise the list is?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“(a) Wide publicity and rates of advertisement are the chief criteria for selecting newspapers for publishing Government advertisements.

“(b) The Departments of Secretariat, Heads of Departments and other officers concerned are competent to give advertisements.

[8th November 1947]

“(c) A list* of newspapers suitable for Government advertisements is laid on the table of the House.

“(d) The list is revised by Government as and when it is considered necessary.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ May I know, Sir, why in certain daily newspapers of the City of Madras, certain Government advertisements appear, and in the case of other newspapers they do not, in spite of the fact that their circulation is better than the circulation of the papers which receive advertisements? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ As I have already stated, a list of newspapers which Government consider suitable for advertisements has been furnished to the heads of departments, and they are asked to select the papers for giving their advertisements.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ May I know, then, Sir, whether the head of a department, who is authorized to exercise his discretion, can give advertisement to a paper the circulation of which is poor? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ If the hon. Member would bring to the notice of Government that there is a wrong exercise of this discretion by any head of the department, Government will take up the matter.”

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—“ Is it a fact, Sir, that the Madras Government are employing a European agency for placing advertisements in Madras newspapers? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Notice, Sir.”

Publication of the Hindi journal by the Department of Information.

* 144 Q.—SRI S. B. ADITYAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have decided to cease publication of the Hindi journal issued by the Department of Information;

(b) if so, the posts in the department, which have thereby become surplus and the amount of saving effected in annual expenditure;

(c) whether the Government propose to effect further economies by stopping the other journals published by the department;

(d) what are the journals at present issued by the department and their paid circulations;

(e) what efforts were made to increase the paid circulation of these journals; and

(f) with what result?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ (a) The Government decided to discontinue the Hindustani edition of

8th November 1947]

Madras Information with effect from 1st November 1947 and notices were served on the members of the staff whose services would be dispensed with, with effect from 1st November 1947. Subsequently, the Editor of the Hindustani edition submitted revised proposals involving considerable reduction in the total expenditure. The Government have after due consideration reconsidered their previous decision and decided to continue the publication of the Hindustani edition for the present, on the basis of the revised proposals.

“(b) In view of the answer to clause (a) one assistant and one moulvi will become surplus staff; the savings effected in the total expenditure will be Rs. 5,760 per annum.

“(c) No.

“(d) Madras Information (English)	1,740
Chennai Chaithi (Tamil)	1,141
Rashtra Samacharamu (Telugu)	681
Madras Patrika (Malayalam)	135
Madrasu Samachara (Kannada)	611
Dakshini Hind (Hindustani)	104

“(e) On 14th October 1947 the Government ordered the reduction in the sale price of a copy from four annas to two annas in respect of English and regional language editions and from eight annas to six annas in respect of the Hindustani edition to popularize and make them available to the general public at a cheaper rate. It is proposed to advertise the reduced rates in certain newspapers.

“(f) In view of the answer to (e) the result has to be watched.”

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—“ How much is the expenditure according to their present pay? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Rs. 720 is the estimated expenditure; it may be less.”

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—“ Do the Government think that it is a proper expenditure for a hundred copies? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ We hope to try this revised proposal for six months. We do feel that the expenditure will be justified.”

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—“ Do the Government not consider it a waste of public funds to publish a journal which has little or no circulation? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ The Government do not consider the revised proposal a waste of public funds.”

SRI K. VENKATASWAMI NAYUDU :—“ Is it not worthwhile spending more money in view of the fact that we may get more money by way of advertisements? ”

[8th November 1947]

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Yes, Sir; if the results justify more expenditure, we shall certainly spend more money.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ Is it the idea of the Government to make people Hindi-minded? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ That is not the idea so far as this proposal is concerned.”

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—“ Do these journals published by this Government publish or print anything which is not given publicity already freely in the journals of this Province? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ That also may be an item of publication.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ May I ask whether the Government are aware that Madras Information is merely a reproduction of the press communiqués already published in the daily newspapers? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ It is not exactly so; we are also revising these publications.”

Number of overseers in the Province.

* 145 Q.—SRI P. VEERABHADRASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state the number of overseers employed in the Public Works Department throughout the Province?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ The total number of overseers at present employed in the Public Works Department (including projects) is 169.”

Irrigation schemes in the Vizagapatam district.

* 146 Q.—SRI P. VEERABHADRASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) what are the irrigation schemes (minor and major) in the Vizagapatam district for which plans and estimates are (i) ready, and (ii) are being made ready;

(b) whether the Government will be pleased to give a list of the schemes with their estimates;

(c) whether the Government are taking up the execution of any of these schemes this year; and

(d) if so, what are they?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ The answer to the question is laid on the table of the House.”

8th November 1947]

* 147 Q.—

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—“ The answer to this question is a pretty long one. I would suggest I will have it printed. Hon. Members may put supplementary questions later. If hon. Members will be satisfied with my reading of the answer, I have no objection to read.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ In view of the fact that the answer is a long one, and it can be printed and circulated only at the next sitting of this Council, there will be delay. I do not know whether the rules permit of an answer being given here now and allow us to put supplementary questions later on. This is an information which is in the public interest.”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ Then the Hon. Minister must read the answer.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ I do not press, Sir. It will take half an hour!”

(The answer was not read—Postponed.)

Construction of godowns for foodgrains in villages.

* 148 Q.—SRI P. VEERABHADRASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state whether the Government have any scheme for building a pakka godown in each village to enable the villagers to keep their foodgrains?

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—“ No, Sir.”

Irrigation works in estates.

* 149 Q.—SRI P. VEERABHADRASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the estates in which Government have carried out irrigation works or constructed new works under the Madras Irrigation Works (Repairs, Improvements and Construction) Act, 1943; and

(b) what is the amount advanced in each case, the amount recovered and the amount still due?

THE HON. SRI KALA VENKATA RAO :—“ (a) & (b) The information in respect of clauses (a) and (b) is contained in the statement* placed on the table of the House.”

Subsidized Medical Dispensaries.

* 150 Q.—SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) what the policy of the Government is in regard to subsidized medical dispensaries;

(b) what the number of subsidized dispensaries is (district-war) both allopathic and indigenous;

[8th November 1947]

(c) whether there is any proposal to increase the number of subsidized dispensaries;

(d) whether there is dearth of medical personnel to take advantage of the system;

(e) whether the Government have considered any proposal to make the system attractive as a measure for providing rural medical relief and, if so, what the proposal is; and

(f) whether the Government have at any time reviewed the whole system to rectify defects noticed in its working?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—“(a) In 1924, a scheme for the opening of rural dispensaries subsidized by Government was inaugurated with a view to encourage medical men to settle in rural areas and to bring qualified medical relief within easy reach of the rural population. The liability of Government is restricted to the payment of subsidy to the rural medical practitioner and the midwife. The cost of medicines and contingencies is met by the local bodies concerned.

“(b) A statement ^a is placed on the table.

“(c) Every year local boards come up to Government for sanction for opening new dispensaries and sanction is usually accorded.

“(d) Yes.

“(e) The Government have recently increased the subsidies payable to rural medical practitioners and midwives employed in rural dispensaries. They hope that this will make the service sufficiently attractive.

“(f) The main principles of the scheme have not undergone revision but whenever defects in the working of the scheme have been pointed out, Government have set them right by the issue of special orders.”

SRI B. BHIMA RAO :—“What are the amounts now being given to rural dispensaries?”

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—“For licentiates and practitioners of Indian medicine, it has been enhanced from Rs. 500 to Rs. 600, for medical graduates, from Rs. 600 to Rs. 720, for midwives, it has been enhanced from Rs. 300 to Rs. 360.”

SRI B. BHIMA RAO :—“Do not the Government consider the amounts to be inadequate taking into consideration the prevailing prices?”

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—“The revision was made only in May 1947, Sir.”

8th November 1947]

SRI B. BHIMA RAO :—" Have not the Government considered it expedient to increase it still further—doubling it? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" There was a proposal to provincialize rural dispensaries with a view to improving the efficiency of these institutions. If that question is taken up again, the enhancement of the subsidies also will be considered. But that is a matter of finance."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" In the list placed on the table of the House, information is given up to 31st December 1945. Cannot the Government give information up to December 1946? Or is it a mistake in typing? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" I shall enquire into the matter."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" May I know, Sir, whether the Government are satisfied that the local bodies are providing the rural dispensaries with the necessary medicines? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" The amount allotted for medicines also has been enhanced from Rs. 360 to Rs. 720, and in addition contingencies worth Rs. 140 are also given. Over and above this, for special reasons local bodies can give additional subsidy. If the dispensary is remote from the population centre or in an unhealthy locality, the local bodies are permitted to give additional subsidy."

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—AMENDMENTS TO RULE 111 OF THE MADRAS COUNCIL RULES.

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Sir, I present the report of the Select Committee on the amendments to Rule 111 of the Madras Council Rules and move that the amendments be taken into consideration. Sir, the Select Committee has approved the amendments without any alteration, and as the Chairman has incidentally observed, the Joint Committee on the Rules of Procedure appointed in 1937 also made a similar recommendation, I request the rules may be taken into consideration."

11-15
a.m.

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The question is in Rule 111 of the Madras Council Rules, the following amendments be made :—

(a) *For the last two lines of sub-rule (1), after 'the word 'Bill ', the following shall be substituted :—*

" and such a motion shall state the total number of members of both Houses to be appointed to the Committee".

(b) *At the end of sub-rule (2), the following shall be added :—*

" to the appointment of a Joint Committee and its proposed total strength and requesting it to nominate the members required under sub-rule (4) "

(c) *for the proviso to sub-rule (3), the following shall be substituted :—*

" Provided that the member in charge of the Bill, and the Member of Government in charge of the department to

[Mr. President]

[8th November 1947]

which the Bill relates, shall be nominated as members of the Joint Committee by the House or Houses of which they are members."

(d) For sub-rule (4), the following shall be substituted:—

" (4) The total number of members of a Joint Committee shall not exceed 30 and on every Joint Committee, the number of members to be nominated by the Assembly and the Council shall be in the proportion of 2 : 1."

The motion was put and carried.

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Sir, I move—

" that the amendments be passed."

The motion was put and carried and the amendments adopted.

The rule as amended was put and carried.

GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS SHOPS AND ESTABLISHMENTS BILL, 1947

(L.A. BILL NO. 15 OF 1947)—*cont.*

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The House will now take up consideration of the Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947, clause by clause."

Clause 2.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Sir, when the Bill was introduced we would have liked the Hon. Minister to give more information on the Bill. Now, Sir, with regard to the leave affair I would like to know whether the clerical staff in the factories are entitled to the 36 days' leave that a shop assistant is eligible under the Bill. Sir, if you refer to section 25, you will see, it says : ' (1) Every person employed in any establishment shall be entitled, etc.' And, Sir, the term ' establishment ' is defined in section 2 (6) as ' establishment ' means a shop, commercial establishment, restaurant, eating-house, residential hotel, theatre or any place of public amusement or entertainment and includes such establishment as the Provincial Government may by notification declare to be an establishment for the purpose of this Act.' That shows, Sir, that the clerical staff in factories is not eligible for the 36 days' leave. Now, Sir, the persons employed in the factories are governed by the Indian Factories Act. Now when this measure becomes an enactment, has the Government considered what will be its repercussions on labour in other provinces with regard to leave. I want to know whether the Hon. Minister or the Select Committee has considered this point."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The word ' factory ' is also defined here."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Yes, Sir, but the point I raise is whether the clerical staff employed in factories are eligible for the leave contemplated in section 25. Of course they do not get that under the Factories Act."

8th November 1947]

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ If they are not included in section 25 they are not eligible for such leave.”

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—“ I want to know the policy of the Government, as the sections read together leave one in doubt and the factory-owners will be in a dilemma as to give or not to give the leave contemplated in section 25.”

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—“ Sir, in section 25 is given the institutions, to which Chapter VI applies, and if my hon. Friend reads that chapter he will find no mention of factories there. Hence, Sir, Chapter VI does not apply to factories. I do not see where the difficulty comes in.”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ Are there any provisions which deal with other kinds of establishment? ”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ Section 2 (3) says what a ‘ commercial establishment ’ is.”

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—“ I want to know are you putting factories in this Province under a different footing from the factories in other provinces? ”

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“ I do not know where this confusion comes in. We have not made this Act on a comparative basis with the Indian Factories Act.”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ As I see, the difficulty is this : section 2 (3) takes in factory, whereas section 2 (6) does not take in factory, and section 25 refers only to ‘ establishment ’ and not ‘ commercial establishment.’ ”

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—“ But ‘ establishment ’ under section 2 (6) includes ‘ commercial establishment.’ ”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ The hon. Member wants to know if it is the intention of the Government to extend this Act to the staff in the factories also.”

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“ The workers in factories are already covered by the Factories Act, Sir. The clerical staff will certainly get the holidays proposed in this Act. That is what is intended.”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ Is it the intention? ”

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“ That is the intention, Sir.”

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—“ The intention has been made clear, Sir, after discussion and after consultation with the Secretariat staff. It is very beautiful, Sir, to see how the Government is framing Bill after Bill and they are not answering a plain question I put : ‘ Do you include the staff of the factories? ’ They say ‘ yes ’ sometimes and ‘ no ’ sometimes and then vary it. ‘ Is that the way? ’, I ask with all humility. Was this principle in their minds when this Bill was framed? Did they consider the

11-30
a.m.

[Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu] [8th November 1947]

question of inter-provincial trade and how the inter-provincial trade will be affected? Did they make a comparative study of the cost of production in our factories and the cost of production elsewhere? Are they entitled to get such a piece of legislation passed without knowing what effects it will have with regard to the nature of production, cost of production, establishment, etc. From the answers given to-day we can see that the Government did not know its mind at all when the Bill was drafted. I do not want to go further. This is not a question which should be treated lightly where the inter-provincial trade is concerned. Were the factories consulted about it? The factories which are asked to give 36 days holidays were not consulted. The Bill is brought at 12 o'clock to-day and it is expected to be passed before 12 o'clock the next day. Is it a manthram to be manufactured and sent out for reproduction or is this legislation? I am asking in all seriousness. If I am using strong language I cannot help it. I must say what I feel. Here you have a legislation connected with production, distribution, staff, costs and all these things without working out the details. What is the use of passing manthrams?"

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“ It is the privilege of all Governments to be helped by the Secretariat and the Secretariat is meant to help the Ministers in piloting Bills. Whatever manthram might be in the mind of the hon. Member we are perfectly sure as to what we are doing. The Select Committee discussed the question and we made the whole Bill applicable to the staff in the factories. The question does not arise whether we consider the whole of India. This is only confined to the factories in this Province. (Interruption.) It is not a question as to whether I consulted anyone or not. The question is that we found such a legislation was necessary. The Bill was published in the gazette and it was put before the public. The question of holidays was accepted but the dispute was only about the quantum of holidays. The labourers wanted a certain number of holidays and the managements wanted a certain number of holidays. Between these two we came to a compromise and said that 30 days will be given. And that is exactly what is placed before this House. Originally it was 10 days and now it was changed to 12. 12×3 , 36 days have been given. There is nothing abnormal in it. In a legislation it is not a question of limiting the numerical figures that will have to be taken into consideration. We have to consider the objections that are raised and we have to satisfy the objections. It is on that basis we have provided the holidays. To go into the comparative cost of production between Province and Province is beside the point. The point is whether holidays are necessary and what is the number of holidays that should be given. (Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu: ‘When you fix the holidays you will have to consider the trade also.’) The whole question was whether there was a good case for holidays with wages. And then the question was whether there should be 10 days with pay or 12 days with pay. In some places poor labourers were not given wages for the days they worked on holidays. We did not want to perpetrate that injustice and by this Act

8th November 1947] [Dr. T. S. S. Rajan]

we say that the employer is not empowered to deny the man pay if he took leave. We thought that the management should by law be made responsible to pay the man for the days he is not working and the law gives the worker a number of days on which he can absent himself and the period for which the management should pay. These are the two main things in this Bill and I do not see where the confusion comes in at all. The fundamental principle is made very clear. Are the poor labourers entitled to holidays? If they are entitled, are they entitled to holidays with pay? In the opinion of the Government they should be entitled for holidays with pay. That is how this Act has come about."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Is the Bombay mill owner giving 10 days holidays. If not on what grounds are the mill owners of the Madras Presidency compelled to give these holidays. How are you justifying the holidays with wages when your sister provinces with whom you are trading are not giving the holidays? We are trading with the Bombay mill-owners in the textile trade. Then we have the oil trade and there are other trades also."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I think the point is clear. They want it, whatever might be the reason."

Clause 2 (16).

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" I move the amendment, Sir—

'that in clause 2 (16) the words "or where services are rendered to customers" shall be omitted.'

" I do not know why it is necessary. These words are not found in the Shop Act in England. I want a plain answer from the Government. I have in mind the advocates, the medical practitioners and the accountants and auditors. Do they come under this Act? Yesterday we had some discussion about this. Then it was said: we have got the power to exclude them. Is there an intention to bring them in? The whole thing is left in the air. In the definition in the Shop Act in England only this is found: 'any premises where retail trade or business is carried on'."

The amendment was duly seconded.

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Then you will have to define business."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I may mention for the benefit of the Hon. the Leader of the House who was not here yesterday, in the general discussion some hon. Member raised the question whether legal practitioners' offices will come under this sub-clause, and whether in such offices services were rendered to customers. Generally hon. Members of the House thought that a lawyer's office

[Mr. President] [8th November 1947]

may not come under the definition of 'shop'. Now he puts the question whether it is intended to bring in all these services which are rendered by these three classes of people, the doctors, the lawyers and auditors will come under the Act also."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—"The question is this, Sir, that a shop means any premises where any trade or business is carried on or where services are rendered to the customers and includes an office and so on. It includes all the offices, Sir, where services are rendered. It is made very clear. Whether they are doctors or lawyers it does not matter. We must look at the unfortunate men who are working from morning till night. A lawyer may ask his clerk to work till midnight. He is a worker. It is only in this sense that we have included these things."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"The only difficulty is this. The learned professions cannot come under 'trade' or 'business'."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—"You have not told a medical practitioner about whom you want to legislate. Is it that you want to eat the fowl and therefore you kill it? Is that your principle?"

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—"If you want to eat it you will have to kill it."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"Even the governmental opinion was that it may not include."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—"As one who had the responsibility for it in the other House I may tell this House that it does include offices of the lawyer and such other offices where men are made to work. This provision will cover all those workers."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—"May I know, whether in other countries the lawyers and the medical practitioners are subjected to this kind of legislation?"

MR. PRESIDENT :—"I must intervene at this stage. I cannot allow this alternate discussion to go on. If the hon. Member wants to speak let him speak for some time and then finish."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—"I say, Sir, if you want to legislate with regard to these lawyers it is criminal on your part to include them without notice and without their knowing anything about it. This is not a civilized way, it is brutal."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—"Whatever may be the idea of civilization in the minds of the hon. Member, I am interested in protecting these unfortunate people who are made to work without any protection whatsoever in the public offices or under anybody. As long as the worker is there, his hours of work should be regulated and as long as he works he should be paid the wages for his days' work. Whether he is under a lawyer or a doctor, as long as he is a worker and gets his wages he has got to be protected under the law. That is the purpose of this Bill. Are we to go on

8th November 1947] [Dr. T. S. S. Rajan]

defining a lawyer, a barrister, a doctor and all these. What is intended is that every class of people whether they are clerks or other workers should be protected with regard to their hours of work, holidays, etc. Lawyers may keep their own establishments, doctors may keep their own establishments. All those people are being covered by this Act.

"Here it is specifically mentioned 'where services are rendered to customers and includes offices, etc.' The only difference is that the services rendered are different." 11-45 a.m.

MR. PRESIDENT:—"The two words 'trade' and 'business' cover the whole of sub-clause (16). The only question is whether the learned professions can be called trade or business."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN:—"It is clearly laid down in the Act as follows: 'where services are rendered to customers and includes offices, etc.'"

MR. PRESIDENT:—"The word 'business' has not been used so far in that sense. I think it might be a fruitful source of litigation."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN:—"It can be litigated if necessary. We shall make such corrections in the law which are necessary. We have taken all the care necessary and we have taken the advice of the legal pandits. If necessary, we will correct and improve it."

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 2 was put and carried.

Clauses 3 to 6 were put and carried one after another.

MR. PRESIDENT:—"If any hon. Member wants to speak on any of the clauses which I am putting to the House, he may make his intention clear. Because I am putting these clauses to the House, it does not mean that hon. Members need not speak on them."

DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"I am sorry, Sir, I did not rise earlier. I should like the Government to explain why they have exempted themselves from these provisions."

MR. PRESIDENT:—"What clause does the hon. Member refer to?"

* DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"Clause 4, Sir. Is it contended that the services under the Government belong to a different category of human species? Now that the Hon. Minister has included the offices of people of the learned professions also among shops, I feel very indignant about it. He should have had the courtesy to remove them and have separate provision for such people. But now whether we are doctors or lawyers, our offices come under shops. If that is the object of the Government, I should like to know with what righteousness

[Dr. Sir A. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar] [8th November 1947] they have exempted themselves from the operation of the Act, and whether persons employed by the Government are not of the same human species as the persons employed by the men of the learned professions."

MR. PRESIDENT:—"I have already declared clause 4 carried. The Hon. Minister cannot now reply."

* DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"It can be done in the third reading of the Bill, Sir."

Clause 7.

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR:—"Sir, I move my amendment^a to clause 7. I do not want in pursuance of the wish of my hon. friend Mr. Suryanarayana Rao to make any long speech. I just want the Government to fix the time so that there may not be any ambiguity about the time when people should come and at what hour people should leave their shops and offices or their places of employment. I suggest, Sir, that people should be asked to come at 9 o'clock in the morning and allowed to go away at 6 o'clock in the evening, so that they may have sufficient leisure for their own work, barring of course certain places where it is necessary for the people to work after 6 o'clock in the evening or before 9 o'clock in the morning. This is a very simple amendment and I hope the Government will accept this."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO:—"I rise to second the amendment. In the course of the first reading, I referred to the need for fixing the hours at which the shops should be closed. My hon. Friend has fixed the hour of opening and also the hour of closing. I have great pleasure in seconding the amendment. As I have pointed out, the Bombay Act fixes in respect of certain shops and establishments the hour before which they should close."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI:—"The Bombay Act only says that no shop shall be kept open beyond 9 p.m. The whole object of the Government in not fixing the hours as contemplated in the amendment is that it will enable the Government to fix different hours for different kinds of establishments and shops. Clause 7 (2) says—

'Before passing an order under sub-section (1), the Provincial Government shall hold an inquiry in the prescribed manner.'

The object is to enable the Government to differentiate between various establishments so that they may not suffer by uniform hours of opening and closing. The object is to enable shops and establishments to carry on their trade without much hardship. If the

^a Clause 7.—In clause 7 (1) for the words from "or closed later than . . . in that behalf," the words "than nine in the morning or closed later than six in the evening" shall be substituted.

Sub-clauses (2) and (3) of clause 7 shall be omitted.

8th November 1947] [Sri H. Sitarama Reddi]

amendment is accepted it will be a great hardship on some of the establishments. It is necessary for the Government to be able to fix suitable hours for various establishments."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" One more point, Sir. The fundamental principle of the Bill is the hours of work and not the time of work. The workers must work 4 hours and then must have an interval of one hour before they begin to work again. If an establishment wants to work from 4 o'clock till 11 o'clock, it must have an opportunity of doing so. The fundamental idea is that people ought not to be made to work beyond 8 hours a day and beyond 4 hours without a break. That is why provision is made to give a little latitude to the establishments to work at any hour they please provided the fundamental rule regarding the total number of hours of work and continuous work is observed."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" Sir, I should like to point out . . ."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The hon. Member has no right of reply."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" I wish only to just point out that this may be made the general rule and there may be special rules for particular classes of establishments."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Yes, we can make rules."

Mr. Abdul Latif Farookhi's amendment was put and lost.

Clause 7 was put and carried.

Clause 8.

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" Sir, I move the following amendment :—

' At the end of the proviso to clause 8 the words " cinemas, drama companies and restaurants " shall be added.'

Sir, this amendment is necessary in order that these people may not be penalized for working late hours in the night and for beginning work earlier in the day. It is only a very simple amendment and I hope the Government will accept it."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" I formally second the amendment."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Sir, Chapter II applies only to shops. As far as cinemas and drama companies are concerned they come under Chapter III. There is no need for the amendment. I oppose the amendment."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Does the hon. Member press his amendment? "

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" What is the use of pressing the amendment? "

[8th November 1947]

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—"The provision that the hon. Member wants is already there in Chapter III."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"I do not press it, Sir."

The amendment was by leave withdrawn.

Clauses 8 and 9 were put and carried.

Clause 10.

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—"I move, Sir—

'The word "ten" be substituted for the word "twelve".'

I do so, because the Government themselves have accepted this principle in regard to the Non-power Factories Bill, in section 20 (3). I am only trying to bring it in consonance with another piece of legislation of a similar kind. In the Factories Act also it is to be spread over 10½ hours or where the factory is seasonal, over 11½ hours. That is the amendment proposed to be introduced in the Factories Act. I do not know how the Government thought of 10 hours in the case of non-power factories and 12 hours in the case of shop assistants and others. I think, Sir, that 10 hours should be substituted for 12 as in the case of non-power factories."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"I formally second the amendment."

12 noon. THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—"It is true that there is a spread-over of ten hours in the Madras Non-power Factories Bill. This Bill relates to shops and commercial establishments, and the work here is not so strenuous as in factories. Therefore, the latitude of a longer spread-over has been allowed in this Bill. Sir, I oppose the amendment."

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 10 was put and carried.

Clauses 11 and 12 were put and carried.

Clause 13.

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"I move—

'In clause 13 (1) for the words "or closed later than . . . in that behalf" the words "than nine in the morning or closed later than six in the evening" shall be substituted.

Sub-clauses (2) and (3) of clause 13 shall be omitted.'

"The Hon. Leader of the House said at the beginning that there was no difference between a shop, lawyer's office, doctor's consulting room, etc. In that case, why should they not fix a time and ask every employee to come at nine in the morning and leave at six in the evening? It is not difficult for the Government to accept such simple amendments. Where the Government feel that the hours of work should vary, they have taken powers to fix different hours. But as a general rule, the shops may be kept open from nine in the

8th November 1947] [Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur]

morning to six in the evening. I don't know why the lawyers and others should not be prohibited from compelling the employees to work after six in the evening."

The amendment was duly seconded.

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I thought your idea was that ordinarily the hours might be nine in the morning and six in the evening subject to change whenever necessary. But the amendment does not give any latitude to the Government at all."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" Where is the need for giving any latitude to the Government at all? In this case the Government should not have any latitude. They have got the power to vary the hours in the proviso dealing with restaurants, eating-houses, etc."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The proviso allows only a quarter of an hour. If a man is given a cup of coffee, he should not be asked to go away without drinking it."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Suppose the Government take power to fix the opening and closing hour at 9 in the morning and 6 in the evening. Then a Police constable should not arrest a man beyond these hours and a vakil cannot come. All those things will arise."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" I don't think Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu was serious in what he said. If he thinks that such legislation is necessary, he can bring in a non-official Bill embodying his ideas. (Mr. President: 'Do you agree?') Certainly not; we have different ideas.

" The amendment of my hon. Friend wants that sub-clause (3) should be omitted. If it is accepted, the Government will be powerless to fix different hours for different establishments, and the object which my learned friend has in mind will be frustrated. I wish to suggest that he withdraws this amendment."

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 13 was put and carried.

Clause 14 was put and carried.

Clause 15.

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" I gave notice of a similar amendment to clause 10 and it was rejected. Therefore I do not propose to move the amendment."

Clause 15 was put and carried.

Clauses 16 and 17 were put and carried.

Clause 18.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" It is said in clauses 17 and 18 that no child or young person shall be allowed to work. I want to know whether the employer's child also is included."

[8th November 1947]

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" A child is a child, whether it is the employer's child or the worker's child." (Laughter.)

Clause 18 was put and carried.

Clauses 19 to 24 were put and carried.

Clause 25.

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" I move—

' In clause 25 (1) after the words " a period of twelve days " the following shall be added :—

" excluding festival holidays enjoyed by persons by custom or usage."

" Here also I have sought inspiration from the Non-Power Factories Bill which we will consider later. I do not know why the exclusion of festival holidays has not been included. I think the Government have overlooked this. Otherwise, why should they make a distinction between the employees in commercial establishments and non-power factories? Of course, the Hon. Minister will say that work in commercial establishments is easy-going and that in factories it is a hard job. But holiday is a holiday, and it should be available to all. Therefore I move this amendment. (Mr. President : ' I am afraid your intention is not quite clear. What is meant by " enjoyed " ? Somebody must give the holidays. ') It means holidays declared as such under the Negotiable Instruments Act. The words used in section 47 of the Non-Power Factories Bill are ' contract, custom or usage '. I left out the word ' contract ' because there is no contract that the worker shall enjoy holidays for Ayudha Puja, Bhogi and occasions like that, and have therefore adopted only the words ' custom or usage '."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" I second the amendment."

SRI S. B. ADITYAN :—" I wish to draw the attention of the Hon. Minister to a difficulty which is bound to arise in the working of this section. This section provides for twelve holidays in a year. A factory consists of the clerical side and the workers' side. Earlier in the discussion it was pointed out that this Act would apply to the clerical side also. The actual workers in a factory are governed by another Act, namely, the Indian Factories Act. If I am not mistaken, the number of holidays provided by that Act is ten. So, the position will be that the clerical staff of a factory will get twelve holidays, whereas the workers in the same factory will get ten holidays. It was admitted by the Hon. Minister that the actual workers do a more strenuous job than the clerical staff. Anyway, it is desirable to have some sort of uniformity and I suggest to the Hon. Minister to bring up the number of holidays provided in the Indian Factories Act to the level provided for in this Act."

8th November 1947]

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Mr. President, I shall first answer the amendment, Sir. The amendment is— 12-15

' In clause 25 (1) after the words " a period of 12 days ", p.m. the following shall be added :—

" excluding festival holidays enjoyed by persons by custom or usage."

' Sir, the intention of the Government is that festival holidays which are already enjoyed by workers should be continued and I would invite the hon. Member's attention to clause 50 of the Bill, wherein holidays which are already enjoyed are protected, and, therefore, there is no need for this amendment. Clause 50 of the Bill provides for the continuance of the holidays which are already in vogue, Sir."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" It is better, Sir, to make it explicit here also that these holidays exclude festival holidays which the persons are already enjoying."

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 25 was put and carried.

Clauses 26 to 40 were put and carried.

Clause 41.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Mr. President, I move that—

' In clause 41 (1) the words " except for a reasonable cause and " shall be omitted '.

" Sir, This section deals with the dismissal of employees, and states under what conditions the employees are liable for dismissal. The Bill as published originally contained the following provision with reference to this subject :—

' No person shall dispense with the services of a person employed without giving such person at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice provided, however, that such notice shall not be necessary where the services of such person are dispensed with on a charge of misconduct supported by satisfactory evidence recorded at an inquiry held for the purpose '.

" According to the original Bill, if an employer finds that his servant is not a desirable man and wants to dispense with his services, he can simply give him a month's notice and send him away. Instead, he can tell him, ' I do not want your services any more; take one month's wage and go '. That was according to the Bill that was published before. That has also been the practice all along in every establishment. Now the Select Committee has made certain modifications to that Bill. What mandate they had, or whose interest they had consulted, we do not know. The next day after the Select Committee met, we find this

[Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu]

[8th November 1947]

alteration made in the clause, namely, 'No employer shall dispense with the services of a person employed *continuously for a period of not less than six months, except for a reasonable cause* and without giving such person at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice, etc. . . .'. The effect of this amendment is this. Suppose there is a clerk working in an establishment. The proprietor of that establishment cannot ask the clerk to go out by giving him a month's notice or a month's pay in lieu thereof. He will have to show him the reason why he is dispensing with his services. The clerk then shall have the right of appeal to such authority as has been prescribed in this Bill whether the cause that is adduced is a reasonable cause or not and if that authority decides that it is not a reasonable cause, he should be retained and continued in service. I am not referring to the question of misconduct, for misconduct is governed by another provision. The reasonable ground mentioned in this clause has to be judged not by the employer, but by the Inspector, or whoever is prescribed by the Government in this behalf. The employer must go to the Inspector and say, 'My servant has been very nice for the first six months. I found him hardworking, etc., but now he has begun to dodge and he does not write up accounts; he takes six hours to do what he has been doing in three hours; therefore, I do not want him in my service any more; you are the person to judge whether this is a reasonable cause or not; please say whether I can send him out.' If the Inspector says that this is not a reasonable cause in his opinion, then the employer must continue him in service. But that is not the case with the servant. If he is a good worker, he can get into the next shop and earn Rs. 10 more. He has no obligation to wait upon the Inspector and make this plea. It is only in the case of a bad and inefficient servant that all the troubles will arise.

"Sir, my hon. Friend Mr. Venkataswami Nayudu said that this Bill is one-sided. I am sure he has experienced and realized these difficulties as an employer. Here, in the instance given by me, we have a bad employee who is forced on the employer and whom the employer does not want to take back, if the Inspector decides otherwise. My whole submission is this. I ask the Hon. Leader of the House, Dr. Rajan, where did he get this provision from? Did he take it from the Shop Assistants Act of England, or did he take it from the Bombay Act, which, my hon. Friend Mr. Sitarama Reddi was saying, has been in force in Bombay from 1939? Does the Bombay Act provide such a thing? No. The Bombay Act does not contain such a provision, I know. The Punjab Act has been referred to by the Hon. Minister. If he is courteous, let him give me the Punjab Act, and I shall read the relevant provision in it for the benefit of the House. What does the Punjab Act say? It says 'No employer shall, without sufficient cause, be dismissed from service, unless and until one month's previous notice is given to him, or one month's pay in lieu thereof.' With or without reasonable cause, his services can be dispensed with."

8th November 1947]

MR. PRESIDENT :—" It must be reasonable cause and also one month's notice or one month's pay in lieu thereof."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" No, Sir. According to the Punjab Act, if there is sufficient cause, no notice is necessary. You must give one month's notice only when there is not sufficient cause.

" According to the present Bill, the Inspector has to Judge, whether the cause is reasonable or not and I can send out the servant only when the Inspector says so. If the Inspector says otherwise, I will have to continue him, even though I do not want him. That is the position to which the employer has been reduced to under this Bill, Sir. Sub-clause (c) of clause 41 says, 'The decision of the appellate authority shall be final and binding on both the employer and the person employed'."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The expression is: 'except for a reasonable cause and without giving such person at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice.' It means one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice; it does not necessarily mean one month's wages only. What you are trying to make out is that even if one month's notice is given, there should be an additional 'reasonable cause'."

12-30
p.m.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" At present, I pay one month's wages, put a namaskaram and say to the employee, 'You may go.' But you say he may get on, whether I pay him or not. He may be an undesirable person; trade must prosper, I suppose, even with certain persons; a family must prosper even with an infidel wife and business must prosper even with an infidel employer. This provision has been introduced by the Select Committee in the course of one day. The Bill says that the employee can go and complain; but what about the employer? The employee can go to another shop and get a job on Rs. 5 more and he can also have a right of appeal; but there is no question here about the employer. It means that the man who is efficient can go to another shop but the drone of a worker who won't work will have to be kept on. The man who is capable and can earn his bread, he will go and the man who will never work under any circumstances will continue. Even a labour legislation cannot go further than this. I want to know on what authority the Select Committee has made this provision; I want to know whether there is any parallel to it; are we to be saddled with servants who will not work or obey us? Or are we to go to the Inspector and say 'Well, this man won't work, won't obey, will you please give me authority to ask him to go out?' Is that the plight to which employers in this province should be reduced? Almost every person who has a clerk will be in that unenviable position; he will have to depend on the Inspector if he wants to take another clerk in the place of one who is a regular dodger or an unfaithful man. There are workers who are nothing but dodgers. You find many workers nowadays who go on pretending to work hard; but in the end you will find not much work done; there are such dodgers.

[Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu] [8th November 1947]

Are you satisfied that these workers who are given eight hours do wholehearted work? Are you not satisfied that the working population of this province consists of more dodgers than real workers? (The Hon. Dr. T. S. S. Rajan; 'No, Sir'.) You are running a farm yourself, you must know. (The Hon. Dr. T. S. S. Rajan: 'I know how to work it.') You know; why all this dodging business, I ask? We want to have the discretion to have a good servant; if you do not want us to have that power, why not you say so in public? Why should it be done in the Select Committee like this? Under what authority did the Select Committee alter the original Bill, making the servant the master? Is there any sense in it, I want to know? It is all a question of business; it is not a question of laughter. I am here reminded of the story of John Gilpin which I read in my younger days. He wanted to go with his family to a picnic party; the coach could contain only four and the children, and he could not go in the coach itself; therefore, he hired a horse; the coach first went to a hotel. He got upon the horse. The horse knew what sort of man he was; it would not go to the hotel; it went to another place and threw him down; he asked another man to put him back on the horse; and this time again, the horse went not to the hotel but came back to the house. Let me ask in all humility, Sir, may it not be said of this Government what was said of John Gilpin, viz., that he did not ride the horse but that he was ridden by the horse."

DR. V. K. JOHN:—"Mr. President, Sir, I very strongly support this amendment. In fact, I referred to this in my speech yesterday on the general principles. First of all, let us understand the provision. The provision is that no employer shall dispense with the service of a person employed unless two conditions are satisfied; that is, first that there must be reasonable cause, and the second is that he must be given one month's notice or one month's wages in lieu of such notice, even though there may be reasonable cause. Another provision which we have to remember is that the person employed shall have a right to appeal and the appellate authority is prescribed by the Government. He cannot go to a court of law unless the court of law itself is the appellate authority; and the decision of that appellate authority is final. That decision may say that this man should not have been dismissed but should remain. Look at section 45, there is a penalty for it. So, if a person dispenses with the service of an employee for his own reasons, and at the same time is willing to give notice of termination of services or offer to the employee damages for so dispensing with his service, i.e., by paying one month's notice or one month's wages in lieu of such notice, and the appellate authority comes to the conclusion that he should not have dismissed him because his reasons are not satisfactory, then he will be made to pay a fine. Have the Government ever thought of the principle that nowhere in the world is there any rule that an employer shall not dispense with the services of his employees? I can understand if the dismissal is wrongful, damages can be

8th November 1947]

[Dr. V. K. John]

claimed; but if he is to remain, is he to sit in his seat and exercise authority? Suppose the Government dismiss a Superintendent of Police in charge of a district; can he say 'I am not dismissed for reasonable cause; I will wait until the proper authority decides whether there is reasonable cause or not and I will continue to sit and exercise authority'? Again, the manager of a shop may have to exercise authority in a shop; the law says you cannot dismiss that manager. I can understand the law if it says you can dismiss him but must pay him damages; in some cases you may also have to pay exemplary damages; but nowhere in the civilized world, nowhere in any statute can you find any provision that an employee will continue in spite of the fact that the employer does not want him, and in addition, that the employer should pay a fine. Is it not sufficient to pay that employee one month's pay as damages? I am trying to explain the law as laid down by the highest authorities in England and India. The law is this: if there is a wrongful dismissal by an employer of an employee, then the employee is entitled to damage for breach of contract and that damage is the damage that flows as a natural result from the breach of contract. If the employee walks out and gets a job the next day, he does not suffer at all; but if he is unable to get a similar job for six months, then he is entitled to six months' pay. Therefore, the courts have laid down that in the case of inferior officers, it is easy for them to get a job within a month normally and in such cases the Court will normally give one month's pay as damages. In the case of senior men, say assistants, the courts have said they are entitled to three months' notice. If again the employee is a director or a managing director, the law lays down that the measure of damages should be six months' pay in lieu of notice. So, the first principle is that no law should lay down that in the relationship between an employer and employee, an employee cannot be dismissed at all. This House can pass a law that if a clerk is dismissed, the shop-keeper should give him Rs. 1 lakh as damages; but you do not say so. This law says if you dismiss this clerk, you must keep him in your shop; you must allow him to pry into all your secrets and you must allow him not to work at all but get his pay from you. Have the Hon. Minister and the members who are responsible for this doctrine, and even the Members of the Select Committee ever realized this fundamental and the elementary principle of law? The law that has been administered in this country for ages provides for damages for wrongful dismissal of a servant, i.e., one month's pay in the case of inferior service men, and three months' pay in the case of assistants. That being the case, what do we find in this Bill? The people responsible for it are ignorant of the elementary principles of law administered for ages in this country. Have they not their legal advisers to advise them? I submit, although there may be difficulties, although there may be the question of prestige this is an atrocious piece of legislation which says to the employer, "You keep your employee, never dismiss him". Have you ever heard of such a thing in any part of the world? Let the public know what this Ministry is doing in this

[Dr. V. K. John]

[8th November 1947]

12-45
p.m

case? I submit once again, this is an atrocious piece of legislation which they have introduced; either the Select Committee or somebody has thoughtlessly done it ignoring the provisions of the law, in ignorance of the practice obtaining in the country. I said yesterday what law is. Law is the idea of right and wrong in a community. What the community holds as right, you enact as a piece of law for the guidance of the whole community and its obedience. Can you produce one employer who will agree with the Government? Can you produce one employee who takes up the position he cannot be dismissed by his employer. Sir, I have got many clerks in my office and I am dealing with clients. Suppose one of my clerks misappropriates some money in connexion with a litigation which may involve some lakhs. Suppose I want to dismiss him although I cannot prove he has misappropriated. He may go to the appellate authority and say that there is no sufficient cause for dispensing with his services. So, is it not for us to define properly what is sufficient cause? You go on copying definitions after definitions, creating definitions after definitions, but in a most important matter like this, you have not defined what is sufficient cause. I do not know who will be the appellate authority provided in the section. He may be an Inspector or a head constable or even a clerk and he may not be a lawyer at all to understand what is sufficient cause. So, in an important matter like this, we are made parties to a legislation, including hon. Members sitting opposite. We are made parties to a legislation which is against the consensus of opinion of the people in the country. The Hon. the Minister will say that it is merely a reproduction of some other Act. But there is no Act similar to this. But what is the wording here. It is 'no employer shall dispense with the services of a person employed continuously for a period of not less than six months, except for a reasonable cause and without giving such person at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice.' Sir, no court of law will say that an employer should not dismiss an employee. The Government may ask the employer to pay damages if there is any wrongful dismissal but they cannot say that the employer cannot dismiss an employee. I therefore want this section to be cleared up. I submit that if this amendment given by one member of the Opposition does not go through, it will only show obstinacy, perversity and injustice."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON:—"Sir, I would plead for a little bit of dispassionate consideration and let there not be any emotion or sentiment or excitement in this matter."

MR. PRESIDENT:—"Will the Hon. Minister like to hear Mr. Ramanatham Chettiyar also before he replies?"

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON:—"I am only proceeding with the debate and I am not replying, Sir. Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu rightly said that it is a matter of psychology. It is a matter of psychology, Sir, with some people and they cannot still give up their ideas of master and servant (Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu: 'Husband and wife!') that we are having for

8th Novmber 1947]

[Sri K. Madhava Menon]

generations. We have been having a feeling that one particular class are only fit for service, and that class can only be servants to be treated in a particular manner. We cannot forget that we are all human beings and that there is a sort of equality, which we expect. Is it taken for granted that a certain class of people should receive a certain kind of treatment? So, Sir, that psychology of master and servant is still there and it has been there for generations."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" It has been developed in jails."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Whether it is developed in jails or elsewhere, I plead with my hon. Friend, Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu, that in these matters, we should change our feeling because, the people, whom we call servants, the shop assistants as stated in the Bill, are also human beings."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" They are masters."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" There is now an alarming progress which is revolutionary against civilization itself. Dr. John says it is the psychology of a civilized nation, and what other words in the dictionary he has not used in condemnation. He has not left anything. We shall now analyse this clause a bit and see what it exactly contains and whether it is very objectionable. My hon. Friend wanted to make out two or three points regarding notice and dismissal of an employee. It is stated in this Act that no employer shall dispense with the services of a person employed continuously for a period of not less than six months, except for a reasonable cause and without giving such person at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice. The object here is that an employer should not dismiss his employee without proper reason. I appeal to your reason and ask, what is wrong in asking an employer that he should not dismiss his servant without proper reason? As a reasonable man, I cannot understand any objection to this, and we are all agreed that proper reason should be assigned for dismissing a person. But, the amendment of Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu says: 'Omit the words "except for a reasonable cause and"'. If these words are removed, then it will be left to the whims and fancies of an employer to dismiss his servant. Suppose an employer has engaged a faithful man for twenty-five years and suppose one fine morning, he says to his servant 'I do not want you', what will be the position of such an employee? He might have served him faithfully for the whole of his life and such a man should not be asked to leave service all of a sudden by taking one month's pay. It is not fair. Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu gave us the story of a horse which went its own way. I may also remind him of the story of another horse. Once a king had given directions to his people that if there was any injustice, they should ring up the bell tied in front of his palace when he would come out and personally attend to their grievances. One day, a horse went near the palace and seeing the green rope with which the bell was tied, taking it to be grass, pulled it, with the

[Sri K. Madhava Menon]

[8th November 1947]

result the bell rang and the king came and saw merely the horse but received no complaints! So, such powers are arbitrary. I may tell my hon. Friend, Dr. John, that there are, in fact, several cases of dismissal without proper reason and many suits have also been filed in courts for wrongful dismissals."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" If I pay him a lakh of rupees as compensation, there may not be any case."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" There are certain cases which cannot be compensated with money. Money cannot bring anything and there are certain things which money cannot do. Again, it is stated that an employer cannot dispense with the services of a person employed continuously for a period of not less than six months."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" The employer will try to dismiss him before six months."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Nobody will do it because it will not be in the interest of the employer himself. Again, objection was taken to the words that the employer should give at least one month's notice or wages in lieu of such notice. But there is a proviso added to this which says : ' provided, however, that such notice shall not be necessary where the services of such person are dispensed with on a charge of misconduct supported by satisfactory evidence recorded at an enquiry held for the purpose.' So, where there is proved misconduct, no notice is necessary. If there is any misconduct, supported by satisfactory evidence, in such cases, the employee can be dismissed without any notice."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" Satisfactory to whom? . . ."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Dr. John says that this provision enables the employee to dismiss an employer. An employee cannot dismiss an employer. I do not think there is any such case. It is only the employer who dismisses the employee. Again, Dr. John said that no suits can be filed in a court of law about dismissals without giving notice. It may be the experience of my hon. Friend but it is within my experience that many suits have been filed for mandatory injunctions for the retention of their posts where persons have been dismissed arbitrarily, and the courts have issued temporary injunctions and have also been executed in many cases. Again, he said that the clause about notice cannot be given effect to in practice. But, the contract of service is presumed, when once you employ a man for a particular purpose. Shop assistants are a class of servants that deserve consideration. They are also human beings and they are entitled to certain rights and certain privileges and they should not be dismissed without assigning proper reasons. It is not fair to dismiss a person according to the whims and fancies of a particular employer. So, the Government wanted to give them a sort of security of service. When we

8th November 1947] [Sri K. Madhava Menon]

are pleading for the security of service of other classes of servants, why not the security of service of shop assistants also be conceded? "

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" It is within the jurisdiction of courts."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" The question of courts does not arise. My hon. Friend, Dr. John, said that lakhs and lakhs of rupees may be involved. But, for such cases, there is the section about misconduct. Here the question of jurisdiction of courts does not arise."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I think the Hon. Minister concerned will answer those points. If the Hon. Minister has got any fresh points, he can bring forth."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" I have not got much more to say. There need not be any alarm about the words 'reasonable cause' and it is absolutely essential that the words 'except for a reasonable cause' should be there."

* SRI S. A. S. Rm. RAMANATHAN CHETTIYAR :—"தலைவர் அவர்களே, இப்பொழுது ஒருமாதம் நோட்டீஸ் கொடுத்தும் ஒரு மாத சம்பளம் கொடுத்தும், காரணங்கள் வைத்து di miss பண்ணினால் அப்படி dismiss செய்தபிறகு அப்பீல் முடியும் வரை வேலையில் இருக்கவேண்டுமா என்று ஒன்றும் தெரியவில்லை. அவரை கட்டையில் வைத்திருக்கலாமா என்று தெரியவேண்டும். இது ஒரு முக்கியமான சமாசாரம். 'இதையோசிக்கவேண்டும். இரண்டாவதாக கவர்ன்மெண்டார் இந்த மாதிரி பெரிய முக்கியமான வேலை சம்பந்தமான ஒரு சட்டம் இது வரையில் கொண்டுவரவில்லை. யாரை நியமித்தாலும் அவரைக் கட்டுப்படுத்த முடியாது. வியாபாரிகளெல்லாம் 4, 5 பேர்களை வேலையில் வைத்துக்கொண்டவர்களை கட்டுப்படுத்திவிட்டேயாது. அந்த மாதிரி பழக்க வழக்கமும் கிடையாது. இது வரையில் இப்பொழுது கொடுத்திருக்கிற தோலு Smday leave கொடுத்தது கிடையாது. இந்த பில் ஆரம்பிக்கிறதைப் பார்த்தால் ஒருவரை வேலையிலிருந்து நீக்கக் கூடாது. அப்படி நீக்குவதாக இருந்தால் சம்பளம் கொடுக்க வேண்டுமென்றிருக்கிறது. இன்ஸ்பெக்டர் நியமிக்கப்படுவர் என்று சொல்லப் படுகிறது. இன்ஸ்பெக்டருக்கு எவ்வளவு சம்பளம் கொடுக்கப்போகிறார்களோ தெரியவில்லை. ஒரு B.A. B.L.ஐ நியமிக்கப்போகிறார்களோ அல்லது service-ல் இருக்கிறவரை நியமிக்கப் போகிறார்களோ தெரியவில்லை. அப்படி நியமிக்கப்பட்ட இன்ஸ்பெக்டரின் நிலைமை பூராவும் நமக்குத் தெரியும். இதைப்பற்றி நான் அதிகம் சொல்லக் கூடாது. இந்த மாதிரி நிலைமையில் ஜனங்களுக்கு நல்லது பண்ணுவதாக நீளத்துக்கொண்டு கஷ்டத்தைக் கொடுக்கவேண்டாம். குளிக்கப்போய் சேற்றை பூசிக்கொள்ளக் கூடாது. வியாபாரிகள் தொழிலாளியாகவும் தொழிலாளிகள் வியாபாரிகளாகவும் வரப்போகிறது என்று சொல்லிக்கொள்கிறார்கள். அதற்கு அநுசரணமாக இது காட்டுவதாக இருக்கிறது. Select Committee-ல் எதைச் செய்தார்களோ அதையே செய்யவேண்டும். ஒரு அட்சரம்கூட திருத்தக்கூடாது? திருத்த வேண்டியவைகளை மீட்டிங்கில் பார்த்து பண்ணவேண்டும்."

K. T. M. AHAMED IBRAHIM SAHIB BAHADUR :—" Sir, I strongly support the amendment moved by my hon. Friend, Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu, viz., the omission of the words 'except for a reasonable cause.' With regard to these shop assistants, the relationship of the master and servant depends not only on the

[K. T. M. Ahamed Ibrahim Sahib Bahadur] [8th November 1947]

merit and ability of the assistant but also on various other considerations. For instance, there is the mutual trust and confidence without which the relationship between the master and servant cannot be cordial. Otherwise, how can the master feel that his business will be carried on properly? Again there may be the question of compatibility or incompatibility of temperament. We know that even the relationship of the husband and wife is terminated on the question of incompatibility of temperament and this is the case in all civilized countries of the world even to-day. After all, how can a man and his wife get on if they do not find themselves that they can live together for any considerable length of time and if they cannot get on together, they must naturally be separated. Similarly, the relationship between the master and servant is only a contract, not an indissoluble contract, though this Government want to make it indissoluble. How can you get on in such circumstances and can any decent business be carried on in any country if the relationship between the master and the servant is made permanent? You say, no employer shall dispense with the services of a person employed under him except for a reasonable cause. You have not defined what is meant by the term 'except for reasonable cause.' For instance, incompatibility of nature must be considered a reasonable ground. There may also be reasons which the master cannot give out for obvious reasons; it may be a question of giving out the secrets of his trade, and there may be other factors which he may not be willing to give out for fear that his business will be greatly jeopardized. Well, Sir, it is for this purpose the words 'except for a reasonable cause' should be omitted from the clause and the provision in the Punjab Act may be restored. Sir, it would certainly be very difficult for any human being to carry on his business if he cannot have even the right of employing the person he likes for the purpose of carrying on his business, because a man to whom he wants to entrust his business must be a man in whom he can have the fullest confidence and he cannot be explaining to the Government why he could not place any trust or confidence in any particular person. It is left to him because it may be a matter of sentiment and every one knows that sentiment plays a very great part in guiding and shaping human beings. One may feel what sort of person may be able to carry on business in a proper manner but he may not be in a position to give out the reason to the authorities concerned if he does not like him. What will be the fate of the employer if such a man is foisted upon him for all time to come and for whom he will have to pay? Not only that, Sir, and as my hon. Friend put it, the servant may not after all be of any use if he pries into his master's secrets and conveys them to others which it may be difficult for him to prove to the satisfaction of the authorities. Therefore, Sir, it must be a legal contract and the employer must have the right to dismiss his servant if he does not like him. Again, Sir, my Hon. Friend, Mr. Madhava Menon said that a man might serve his master for 25 years and if he is suddenly asked to get away, what would be his position, he asks. Well, Sir, I may tell him that no reasonable employer will dismiss a man who has served him for 25 years. Sir, there is always the question of trust to be considered in these matters,

8th November 1947]

[K. T. M. Ahamed Ibrahim Sahib Bahadur]

because trust begets trust and he cannot carry on with his servant if he goes on suspecting him instead of reposing implicit confidence. Have you made any provision for pinning the servant to the master? You are on the other hand pinning the master to the servant. A servant may even go away without giving even one month's notice, because he does not feel any necessity to do so and this provision will be a source of the greatest blackmail possible so far as the servant is concerned. If you want to make any provision for safeguarding the rights of servants who have been in service for a considerable length of time, you may do so by providing for Provident Fund or old age pension.

"It is said, Sir, that no employer can dismiss a person who is employed continuously for a period of not less than six months except for a reasonable cause. I want to ask whether employment under contract is exempted from the scope of this provision? Supposing a master engages a servant for a period of six months or one year? Can he enforce his contract or will it be a permanent liability in respect of the contract entered into between the master and the servant? (The Hon. Sri K. Madhava Menon: 'That will come under the Contract Act.')

"Sir, I strongly support the amendment of my hon. Friend and I would appeal to the Government to give consideration to the views expressed on this side of the House and not take time to see whether the amendment is reasonable or not. They should not think that everything coming from the other side of the House cannot be accepted and that whatever is proposed by them must be taken as gospel truth and that the whole Bill should go into the Statute Book without the alteration of a comma or a word."

K. UPPI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"Sir, . . ."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"I think enough has been said on the amendment."

K. UPPI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"Sir, generally I do not take much time. I will only take a few minutes, Sir. One feels that the Government themselves are in a fix with regard to this section. Even in the drafting of the section, we feel that they have also recognized the unreasonableness of it. It is an unnatural section, because you ask a man to employ in his service one in whom he has got no confidence. Sir, nobody dismisses a servant for nothing except for a real cause. The psychology behind all this legislation seems to be to drive out all ancient customs. On account of the artificial methods of such enactments, the relationship between the master and servant which has been all along existing in a natural manner, is changed. Psychologically we have changed, the times are changing and consequently the relationship between the master and the servant also is changed. What will be the position of a man if you ask him to take a servant in whom he has lost all confidence? Will they trust each other? The result will only be they will become worse enemies. Instead of creating mutual goodwill between them, the result will be hatred with each other. So,

[K. Uppi Sahib Bahadur] [8th November 1947]

Sir, I appeal to the Government to accept this very reasonable amendment. Of course, I quite realize their difficulty in not being able to accept the amendment, because if they do so, they will have to take it to the other House and go through all the paraphernalia again. I would, however, request the Government, instead of creating an atrocious situation in the country between the master and servant, to accept the amendment and amend the Bill accordingly. Of course, there is no urgency for passing this now and nothing is going to happen if you take a few more days over it. I, therefore, once again appeal to the Government to accept the amendment in view of its reasonableness and not to stand on mere prestige."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Sir, a lot of unnecessary heat has been created by powerful advocates about this most innocent thing. Questions of psychology, civilization, human nature, I do not know, what else, have been brought in and some sort of rigmarole and abstract arguments, one after another, have been piled against this one simple statement of fact that this is a labour legislation intended to protect the under-dog, a man who has been for ages under the tyranny of all civilization. So many things have been said. What is it that is provided in this Bill?

1-15
p.m.

" It is not that an employee should be dismissed by payment of Rs. 30 or Rs. 40 that is meant here. If you want to dismiss an employee, you have to show sufficient and reasonable cause. The idea of the Government is to protect the employee as well as the employer. It is not as if an employer cannot dismiss a man employed under him. It is not as if that a right of his is prevented. Most of the hon. Members have missed this point. They have to show reasonable cause. That is all that is required. These words 'show reasonable cause' need not have made our friends so apprehensive. There is nothing wrong in sending his servant out. It is not as if that every shop assistant and employer should go to court on this issue. This is not a big issue on which this House should divide itself. If it is a big and important issue, then there is some meaning. It is not as if we are going to divide on a constitutional issue of the relationship of the two Houses. This Bill has been brought up for the purpose of protecting the worker to a reasonable extent, i.e., simply because a man is employed under somebody, he should not lose his self-respect and there should be the dignity of labour. He is not your slave because he is employed under you. It is therefore not proper to send him out without showing a reasonable cause. It is all a simple thing. It is not that we are penalizing the employer in any way. If we take away this clause, anybody can be sent away without sufficient cause by the payment of a month's wages. It is that we want to avoid. I do not understand why there should be so much argument about this. It would be a glaring injustice if we accept this amendment and so Government cannot accept the amendment."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" There are many Government servants who are employed on a temporary basis. How are you going to give them protection? "

8th November 1947]

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" I may inform the member that Government servants are the most protected persons in the world, particularly in the present circumstances."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" That is what they deny, Sir."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I will put the amendment to the vote of the House—

' in clause 41 (1), the words " except for a reasonable cause and " shall be omitted '."

The amendment was declared lost.

A poll was demanded and the House divided thus :

Ayes.

- | | |
|--|---|
| (1) Sri H. M. Jagannatham. | (6) Dr. V. K. John. |
| (2) „ R. Suryanarayana Rao. | (7) Sri A. Gopalakrishnayya. |
| (3) Hamid Sultan Maricair Sahib Bahadur. | (8) „ B. Narayanaswami Nayudu. |
| (4) Dr. Syed Tajuddin Sahib Bahadur. | (9) Dr. Sir A. Lekshmanaswami Mudaliyar. |
| (5) Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur. | (10) K. T. M. Ahamed Ibrahim Sahib Bahadur. |
| | (11) K. Uppi Sahib Bahadur. |

Noes.

- | | |
|--|---|
| (1) Sri B. Veeraswami. | (10) Sri S. A. S. Rm. Ramanathan Chettiyar. |
| (2) A. S. T. F. Rodriguez. | (11) „ L. Subbarama Reddi. |
| (3) Sri C. N. Muthuranga Mudaliyar. | (12) „ B. Bheema Rao. |
| (4) „ S. Jayaram Reddiyar. | (13) „ K. Venkataswami Nayudu. |
| (5) „ M. K. Sundararama Ayyar. | (14) „ N. Venkatachalamaji. |
| (6) „ C. Perumalswami Reddiyar. | (15) „ N. Sankara Reddi. |
| (7) The Hon. Sri K. Madhava Menon. | (16) „ S. K. Satagopa Mudaliyar. |
| (8) The Hon. Dr. T. S. S. Rajan. | |
| (9) The Hon. Sri O. P. Ramaswami Reddiyar. | |

Ayes 11 ; Noes 16.

The amendment was lost.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" After what has been said I suppose that employees under contract for one year can be terminated at the end of the one-year period."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" That is a reasonable cause and so there is nothing wrong in their being sent out."

Clauses 41 to 53 were one by one put and carried.

Clause 1.

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Sir, I move that—

' in clause 1 (3) (a) the words " and in all panchayats constituted under the Madras Local Boards Act,

[Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu] [8th November 1947
1920, which for the time being are classified by the
Provincial Government as major panchayats on such date
as the Provincial Government may by notification appoint ' '
and sub-clause (b) of clause 1 (3) shall be omitted.'

Sir, when it was raised in the Select Committee that these panchayats should not be included, Government said there is nothing about it. These major panchayats which are quasi-rural in character are to be included in this provision. There is no consultation with these people. Suddenly a Select Committee is convened and within twenty-four hours the Bill is published. You do not consult what are the conditions of labour there and the Minister says there is nothing in it. I say, Sir, you may do as you please."

1-30
p.m. SRI A. GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :—" I second the amendment, Sir."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Have you spoken on both the amendments? "

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Yes, Sir."

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Sir, this clause reads--

' It shall come into force in the City of Madras, in all the municipalities constituted under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, and in all panchayats constituted under the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920, which, for the time being, are classified by the Provincial Government as major panchayats, on such date as the Provincial Government may by notification appoint.'

The Government have taken powers to extend the provisions of this Bill to all the shops and shop assistants in the major panchayats and municipalities. This is a Bill which is meant for the amelioration of the working classes, who are found in all parts of the Province—panchayats big and small. Government have taken power to enforce the provisions of this Bill wherever it is necessary. We do not want to pass an Act again for the sake of major panchayats. We have taken power to enforce the provisions of this Bill wherever there is need. It is only that and nothing more."

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 1 was put and carried.

The Preamble was put and carried.

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Sir, I move—
' that the Bill be passed into law '."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" You must say something more; I think you promised to do it."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Sir, this Bill had been discussed at great length at the time of introduction, and the various clauses also have been fully discussed. The points raised by hon. Members have been answered. There is no need for further elucidation."

8th November 1947]

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ The point raised by Dr. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar—‘ why do you exclude yourself?’ has not been answered.”

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—“ The only thing is Government cannot apply the Act to themselves. Government are in a position to legislate for other people, and they have absolutely no reason to apply this Act to their own servants, because Government servants are so well protected. It really does not look as if any protection is required.”

* DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—“ Does the Factories Act apply to Government factories or not? ”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ You may speak.”

DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—“ I am going to speak, Sir.”

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ Sir, I am really surprised at the position taken up by the Hon. Minister. The Government have to set an example to others. Instead of that, they exclude themselves from all legislation, simply because they have a majority they can get this Bill passed, and enforce it on others. I do not think it is fair. The hon. Dr. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar said, whereas the Factories Act is applicable to all concerns whether they are Government or non-Government, this Act is being made applicable only to non-Government establishments. Why only the Central and Provincial Governments, local authorities, the Reserve Bank of India, and a number of other institutions should be excluded? As you are aware, even the Reserve Bank of India employees have their grievances. They went on strike. They are not assured of the privileges that are given under this Bill. Why should the Reserve Bank of India or other establishment be excluded, I do not understand. It is really a discriminatory legislation, a legislation to which Government should not have been a party, because they must set an example to others before they ask others to remedy the conditions under which people work.”

* DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—“ Sir, I had no intention to speak on the third reading of the Bill, but the interpretation given to this Bill by the Hon. the Leader of this House this morning has taken my breath away. Yesterday, I thought that certain of the learned professions at any rate would not be brought within the scope of this Bill. But, to-day for the first time the Leader of the House has come and told us that the medical profession is included, the legal profession is included, and that a medical man who keeps a consulting room, keeps a shop! I feel very humiliated, Sir, that one of the most distinguished members of my own profession has thought it proper to define a medical man's consulting room as a shop. I shall not worry myself about that particular interpretation at present whatever the humiliation may be. Now, I have tried to examine this Act in the light of the fresh interpretation that has been vouchsafed to us by

[Dr. Sir A. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar] [8th November 1947]

the Leader of the House, that is, any medical practitioner who keeps a dispensary with two, three or four persons, is keeping a shop. What will be the effect of this particular interpretation? Clause 8 says—

'Save as provided by or under any other enactment for the time being in force, no person shall carry on, in or adjacent to a street or public place, the sale of any goods after the hour fixed under section 7 for the closing of shops dealing in the same class of goods in the locality in which such street or public place is situated.'

Suppose there are three or four dispensaries. You are aware, Sir, that some practitioners do have small dispensaries to dispense medicines for their patients who come to them. Supposing at about 8 or 9 in the night, a patient comes running up to me and says 'my son (or daughter) has got such and such complaint,' and I want to give him some medicine, I will be infringing this Act by giving that particular medicine and charging him for it. I cannot help charging him, because I cannot give him medicine free. Sir, it may be something even much worse than this. Under this particular clause, a practitioner who wants to practise and do his duty by his patient cannot obviously do so. Take again clause 11 (1), which says—

'Every shop shall remain entirely closed on one day of the week which day shall be specified by the shop-keeper in notice permanently exhibited in a conspicuous place in the shop; and the day so specified shall not be altered by the shop-keeper more often than once in three months.'

It looks a little bit ridiculous. I know it is not possible to enforce a legislation like this. If you do it, you will suffer the fate of Canute of old. What does this clause mean? Does it mean that the dispensary should be automatically closed for one day in the week, that no patients should be looked after, and that nothing of dispensing should be done? The medical profession is not like some other professions. I do not make any special plea at all for the sake of those who are following that profession, but we have got to realize the fact that they have got the larger interests to serve. That is why in regard to the medical profession many of the provisions which apply to others may not apply. You can disturb medical men at any part of the night. They may have to work all the seven days in the week. Most of us have had to work not for the sake of reward. Let me tell hon. Members that they need not think that it is a money-making profession. We know our responsibilities—whether it be as Government servants, or whether it be as private practitioners, or whether it be as people owning nursing homes—when the life and safety of the individual is concerned. And this Act wants us to completely close our shops—an expression that is still pricking me in my heart—for one day of the week.

"Take again, Sir, clause 13 (1), which says—

'Save as provided by or under any other enactment for the time being in force, no establishment shall on any day be

8th November 1947] [Dr. Sir A. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar]
opened or closed later than such hour as may be fixed by the Provincial Government by general or special order in that behalf.

I quite agree that the Provincial Government may exempt anybody from the operation of this rule, but still the imputation is that there must be some restriction on the hours of practice with regard to a medical practitioner who keeps a dispensary. Is that the intention of the Act? To-day, the Government may be generous enough, particularly with the guidance of my hon. Friend Dr. Rajan, who has had a variety of practice, from small beginnings right up to the highest level. With his advice, they may probably exempt the medical profession. But it does not prevent another Government saying 'these fellows do not require all that; we are going to put a limit; let not these practitioners practise'. There will be all sorts of arguments advanced and several cases can be propped up. Unfortunately, I am in the minority of about three members in a House so full of advocates. Anything can be propped up by argument.

"Take again, Sir, sub-clause (2) of clause 14, which says—

'No person employed in any establishment shall be required or allowed to work in such establishment for more than four hours in any day unless he has had an interval for rest of at least one hour.'

Suppose my assistant is helping me in an operation. For four hours, he may have worked. In the middle of an operation, according to this clause, I must leave him out. (Laughter.) Is that what is intended? If you want this Act to apply to the votaries of the medical profession by calling them shop-keepers, that will be the inevitable result. To whom are they to appeal? It may not be always that the originators of the Bill will be there to help them with advice. Their successors may not feel the same way as they felt. It is impossible under an Act such as this, which applies to such a large number of people, anybody can be safe under the sun.

"Then, I come to one other point, and I will not labour much on that. Hon. Members will doubtless find many other points which will be incompatible with the proper discharge of the professional duties if this Act is passed as it is, and if a certain number of these learned professions are included. I invite your attention to clause 43, which reads—

'Any Inspector may at all reasonable hours enter into any premises which is, or which he has reason to believe is, an establishment, with such assistants and make such examination of the premises and of the prescribed registers, records or notices as may be prescribed.'

It is a fundamental principle with the medical profession that there are certain records that can never be shown even to Income-tax Officers. The maintenance of privacy between the patient and the practitioner is one of the highest moral standards. (Dr. V. K. John: 'So also the legal profession.') I have certain views about

[Dr. Sir A. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar] [8th November 1947]

the legal profession which may not always be complimentary to them. (Laughter.) It is not as though I am trying to save the practitioner. I ask 'how do you protect the patient?' We know that patients come to us at all times with various forms of complaints. Sir, I tell you honestly, with my experience of nearly for forty years, that there are many secrets that a medical man must not divulge. They must die and be buried or burnt with him. Are you going to allow an Inspector to inspect all my records? Is that not the implication of this particular clause? It seems to me that in the framing of this Bill, with due deference and with no intention of criticism, a little more attention and thought and careful consideration should have been bestowed. The Hon. Dr. Rajan said that the reason why they had not included Government servants was that Government were the best protectors. Sir, I have been a Government servant for a much longer period than the Hon. Dr. Rajan. (Laughter.) Let me tell you, I have been a Government servant for thirty-three years. There are many virtues in Government service, but the Government are not free from those little foibles which they rightly think that an employer may be guilty of. I have known many people, high in authority, who if they were not pleased with the attitude of their assistants, would send a confidential document against them and get them ruined and dismissed. Does the Hon. Dr. Rajan know of all the atrocities that may be committed by unscrupulous superior officers against their inferiors? I ask you, Sir, why should it be necessary for these attenders here to work for longer than four hours without rest? I hope I am not interfering with your privilege. (Laughter.) These are all Government servants, whereas I must send my assistant after four hours' work for one hour's rest. Why do you keep these attenders here for more than four hours with no rest, and then give them 15 days' leave?"

MR. PRESIDENT:—"You want this Act to apply to this House!" (Laughter.)

DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"I do not plead for it, Sir. But I ask that persons who spoke so eloquently about the dignity of labour must first show that dignity to their own labour before they ask others to follow. Emulation is the best form of flattery. I am anxious that Government should emulate by their own conduct and appreciation of the principles of this Bill. And that will force me to emulate them in regard to my own employees. Sir, this is all I have got to say."

1-45
p.m.

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR:—"Sir, the Hon. Mr. Madhava Menon said that we should look at the provisions of this Bill in a dispassionate manner. I feel, Sir, that it is the other side that is not looking at it in a dispassionate manner, and that they are carried away by a sense of, what shall I say, no responsibility, at this stage ('Say anything' from Government Block.) (Laughter.) Now, Sir, I feel this Government should set an example first regarding the treatment of their servants. The Hon. Dr. Rajan said that Government servants are the best protected people. Sir, it is not the person who employs a man

8th November 1947] [Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur]

that knows whether the servant is protected well. It is the employee who should say that. I ask the Government to put that question to its Government servants, and I ask, 'can they stand that test?' In fact that was the challenge put to Mr. N. S. Varadachari by the President of the Non-Gazetted Officers' Association to resign his seat in the Assembly and contest fresh election with the latter on the question of pay and comforts to Non-Gazetted Officers. Now, Sir, the same challenge can be thrown to any Minister of this Government, and they may be asked the question, 'will your Government servants say they are the best protected people, and on that issue, can you stand an election?' "

MR. PRESIDENT :—" There are other safeguards for Government servants."

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" My Hon. Friend, Mr. Madhava Menon said there should be no difference between man and man; the employee must be put on the same footing with the employer. If it is a question of dignity of labour, I, as a Muslim, feel, no man respects the dignity of labour more than the Muslims. I know several instances of masters and employees dining together, the master taking only such food as his servant eats."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Is it always true? "

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" There may be people who do not act up to this principle. For my part, I may tell you, Sir, I take only such food as my driver takes; I take only such food as my servant takes; I do not eat more than my servant eats."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" You will be easily beaten by them." (Laughter.)

ABDUL LATIF FAROOKHI SAHIB BAHADUR :—" My driver will be able to digest what he takes as he works more than myself. Therefore, what I say is, Government should set an example for others. If Government are not going to give what their Government servants ask, then I do feel Government will not be justified in asking this Bill to be passed into law.

" Then, Sir, why do you fix six months' service? I know of servants of six months' service, when asked to bring change for the currency note, running away with the money, and never turn up at all. You want them to be relied upon and they should be given the benefit of this measure. Now, I ask, are there not temporary servants in Government; are they not sent away after the expiry of the temporary period? Why not give them also the same latitude. If this rule becomes law, many servants will be dispensed with after the expiry of five months and twenty-nine days' service.

" Now you have not prescribed any special wages for servants. What will happen if the employers give only low wages; they will say, 'I cannot give (Rs. 30 a month; I shall be able to pay only Rs. 10'. The servants will run away from their masters. There will not be loyalty in servants; they will not be love and affection

[Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur] [8th November 1947]

between master and servant. So this Bill is aiming at the root of the relationship between the employer and the employee, instead of their feeling that they are working for a common cause and that their interests are one. Therefore, I say, that Government should take into consideration all the arguments that have been advanced on the floor of this House, and I do not see that anything will be lost by delaying this legislation."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" Sir, I do not want to make any long speech. Suppose there is a man on a contract of two years. On the expiry of the contract period can he go to the Inspector and complain of termination of service and claim continuance of employment? Is it reasonable for him to do so? Another question I want to put to the Hon. Minister is, suppose there is a man, who is only a monthly agent or an yearly agent; and he is discharged. He goes to the Inspector at the end of the month or the year and complains of termination of service. Is he to be kept on even though there is no business running? Suppose there is a hotel-keeper; he dismisses his servant for rude behaviour? Is he to be taken back to service in spite of that, and if so, how long? These are categorical questions I put to the Hon. Minister and I hope I will get a plain answer."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" Sir, the interpretation of this Act is not one for the Hon. Minister to undertake. It is for a court of law to do it. Even if inspectors are appointed under this Act, they cannot go beyond their jurisdiction, it is the court of law that has to do it. I am not convinced that this Bill applies to the learned profession. Sir, having shown already, or rather attempted to show, that this Bill is mischievous and ill-conceived, I can only at this stage invite the attention of the Government to one very salutary provision in the Bill. It is section 52, wherein it says, the Provincial Government may, by notification, suspend for a specified period the operation of all or any of the provisions of this Act. I ask Government to suspend all the provisions of this Act for the next ten years." (Laughter.)

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" I suppose Dr. John will find the court of law more interesting, and if the Council is dissolved he will find interesting work there than attend the Council in all matters of legislation, good, bad and indifferent. I am simply surprised that Dr. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar should grow very vehement, and I do not know what exactly it was that upset him. He said doctors' dispensaries and employees should not come within the purview of the provisions of this Bill. I can claim experience as the learned doctor himself, and I really see no impropriety in enforcing this Bill on them also. There are doctors with assistants with heavy work. It is but just that doctors, just like any other employer, should not overstrain their assistants. The fixation of four hours of work does not mean that if during the course of an operation the four hours' period should expire the assistant should leave the operation theatre in the middle of the operation. He can have more than one assistant. If a doctor has to work for more than four or six hours, it is not necessary that his assistants also

8th November 1947] [Dr. T. S. S. Rajan]

should work for the same period. I certainly think it is a strain especially for an assistant surgeon to work for more than four hours. Ordinary human feeling should suggest that that sort of work should be helped and relieved. That is all that is meant. There is no indignity in this. It is absolutely human consideration that is the basis of this rule. Regarding one day's holiday, any day convenient to the interest concerned, not necessarily any particular holiday may be observed as a holiday."

DR. SIR A. LAKSHMANASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"The whole shop has to be closed!"

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN:—"If you cannot find a man to relieve the assistant for a day, the shop shall have to be closed."

DR. V. K. JOHN:—"Do doctors differ always!" (Laughter.)

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN:—"Sir, clause 11 lays down, 'the Provincial Government may fix different hours for different shops or different classes of shops or for different areas or for different times of the year.' A more liberal provision than that cannot be put in. Therefore, it is not as if Government have surrendered its power. So the contention that the provisions will be tyrannically enforced does not stand to reason. Then, again, Dr. Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar spoke of confidential reports being liable to be examined by inspectors. Sir, under section 43, any inspector may at all reasonable hours enter into any premises which is, or which he has reason to believe is, an establishment, with such assistants and make such examination of the premises and of the prescribed registers, records or notices as may be prescribed. Therefore this enactment does not even intend or dream of going into the registers that are kept sacred by a medical practitioner, which certainly he is entitled to keep. It is only such provisions as for working hours and as for wages and many other things that are in this Act. There is therefore absolutely no tyranny. I for one do not see any reason for the fears expressed. As far as the Government servants are concerned I may certainly tell my hon. Friend who claimed 33 years of Government service—I cannot claim to come anywhere near it but I say as one in charge of Government—that the privileges and facilities to those employed under the Government cannot be challenged. They are self-evident. The services have always attracted such keen competition one against the other. This stands as proof to the fact that the Government have employed their servants very properly. Reference was made to all sorts of cringing and all that. It is human nature. The service does not create it. I must say, Sir, that the Government deal with their servants straightly. Perhaps they have given the greatest protection to their servants more than any other institution which could be thought of. In fact as a Minister of Government I cannot dismiss anybody I like. Before I send out a man I know what it is I am doing. Take the case of the lowest village servant, the karnam, the High Court Judge and the Executive Councillor cannot displace a karnam and send

[Dr. T. S. S. Rajan] [8th November 1947]

him away although he is only a village karnam. Every one of the services in the Government is so guarded and so well-protected that I cannot accept the statement that the Government treat their servants badly. It may be that they have got the power of the union and as one of our friends said they can protest and make unreasonable demands. That is not the point. That they have got the power to protest, the power of union and the power to make themselves felt is well-recognized by the Government. Therefore I cannot be persuaded to believe that the Government is unreasonable and that it is tyrannysing its employees.

"These two clauses which I have read are sufficient to prove that all the safeguards are necessary and in case where it is not an establishment it is well-provided for and regarding the sacredness of the documents mentioned nobody will lay his hands on the registers to which my hon. Friend made reference. It is not in this Act. It is only those registers that come under the provisions of this Act that will be affected."

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed into law.

The House adjourned to meet again at 3 o'clock.

(After Lunch—8 p.m.)

(2) THE MADRAS NON-POWER FACTORIES BILL, 1947
(L.A. BILL NO. 16 OF 1947)—*cont.*

MR. PRESIDENT :—"Discussion on the Non-Power Factories Bill will now go on."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—"May I know, Sir, whether I can move the amendment, that the consideration of this Bill may be taken up this day next year?"

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—"I rise on a point of order, Sir. We have already taken up consideration and the discussion has gone on. This amendment that this may be adjourned to next year should have come before you admitted and put the question."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"I have not put the question yet."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—"You have not put the question, Sir, but the discussion has already begun on the motion and the amendment that the consideration of this itself be adjourned should have been made before the discussion began."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—"One hon. Member has already spoken on it."

SRI P. R. K. SARMA :—"I spoke on the motion, Sir, when it came up for general discussion. I was the first to speak on it."

K. UPPI SAHIB BAHADUR :—"May I know, Sir, whether a member is excluded from moving amendments?"

8th November 1947]

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Will you please allow me to think? Mr. Madhava Menon, can you just point out the rule under which you raise the point of order? "

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" I shall find out the rule, Sir. The ordinary practice is that when my hon. Friend Mr. Sitarama Reddi has already moved that the Bill be taken into consideration . . . "

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The general rules under Chapter XI say, that a member who has moved a substantive motion may speak again by way of reply and shall have a right of reply and that a member may speak on an amendment. As regards amendments it says, that an amendment must be relevant to and within the scope of the subject-matter of the Bill or motion to which it relates. The motion of the Hon. Minister is that the Bill be taken into consideration and there is an amendment to it. What is the objection? "

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" The amendment is that the consideration itself should not be taken. But you have already taken it up for consideration and the discussion has gone on."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" That does not matter."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" May I know, Sir, if the rules prevent hon. Members from asking for adjournments at any stage? "

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Instead of the hon. Members asking questions I would like them to help with references to certain rules. The motion of the hon. Member is not for an adjournment. It is an amendment to the motion to the effect that the consideration of the Bill be taken not now but one year hence."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—" As my amendment is not going to be accepted it does not matter, Sir. The other Bill that we discussed affected the classes and this Bill affects the workers. There are a number of industries enumerated in the Schedule: The match factory, the confestionery, printing and binding, etc. There are 31 such industries and my hon. Friend Mr. Suryanarayana has given notice of an amendment to add some more. The point which I wish to raise before the House is whether there is enough material before us to enable us to proceed with this legislation on a satisfactory basis. I wish to mention, Sir, that at least in future the Members of Government while presenting their Bills will explain the salient provisions of the Bills and not drive us to go home and read all the provisions and then understand what the Acts are about. This has not done with regard to any Bill they have presented to the House, namely, setting out what its salient features are, how it will affect the industry, who are the persons who will be affected, which are the industries which will not be affected and what are the pivotal sections. We simply take clause by clause, clause (a), clause (b) and so on. If any hon. Member on the Treasury

[Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu] [8th November 1947]

Benches will take up the debates of either the House of Commons or the House of Lords he will find that even in the third reading stage the principles of the Bill are reiterated so that others might follow very easily and find out whether they are right or not. This is the one submission which I want to make; whether they will take it or not is another matter.

‘The second thing I want to say is that the Shops Act was passed in 1912 in England. It concerns only the shop assistants. There was an Act previous to it in 1904. At the time of moving the Bill for consideration in 1912 Mr. Bottomley put down an amendment like this: ‘upon this day six months.’ This is what was said on the occasion:—

‘I can only suggest that the addition of the hon. Member for Skipton (Mr. Clouth) would have brought the sponsorship of the measures into harmony with its provisions. I feel that the Bill has been hurriedly and carelessly drawn and prepared. I do not think there is much practical brain behind it. One illustration will suffice. One of the most important deputations seen by the Home Secretary, at the office of his department, one of shopkeepers, was called for twelve o’clock on a Saturday, a time when scarcely any shopkeeper could attend without seriously jeopardizing his business. Perhaps the Home Secretary thought from the smallness of the attendance that the interest in the measure was not great. I think the House will regret that we have not the advantage of the presence of Mr. Seddon in discussing this measure. He is a great authority on the subject and he speaks for a large body of shop assistants. I have adopted a course in regard to this Bill which I recommend to the Right Hon. Gentleman in regard to measures of this kind. I convened a conference of all the shopkeepers and representatives of the assistants in my own Constituency, and I took the daring step of bringing the shopkeepers and Mr. Seddon as representing the shop assistants together, and I have a written declaration that conferences of that kind would be more calculated to cure the admitted evils of shop assistants than all the measures ever drafted by a Government department.’

I am reading this in extenso to show that like this we have not understood so many things. They have included the workers without consulting the workers’ representatives. They have not asked the employers to come and tell them what their needs are. They have not consulted the manufacturers. Here they have included the match factory. Did they call the workers and find out their requirements? Are they legislating for their needs as expressed by them or are they legislating according to the mandates with regard to labour? Is it fair on their part to go on legislation without summoning the employees and ascertaining what their needs are; is it merely speed that is required? I submit that this is not a proper course of legislation at all. How many

8th November 1947] [Sri B. Narayanaswami Nayudu]

of the Ministers know what the difficulties of the workers really are? So, Sir, I submit that this is not a mere question of freely putting these industries here. I say, Sir, that the particular employees and the workers in those industries should be brought together and their grievances should be ascertained and then an agreed legislation should be brought forward. If they are not agreed as to what is the best legislation that would satisfy the persons concerned there is no use having an academic and parliamentary debate with regard to these matters. I am not ridiculing them, I appeal to them. When I put the amendment down that the consideration may be postponed to this day next year, I mean, Sir, that if they are really interested in this legislation, they should make proper enquiries into the industries concerned and this question must be gone into and then only if the Government think that they have the right to do it, they should bring in the legislation.

"I am glad to find, Sir, that section 41 of the Shops and Establishments Act is not repeated here. Assistants have been pinned down to us under that Act. I hope that the omission of that section has been an oversight or the misfortune of the workers and the fortune of the employers. I leave it there."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—"There is section 26."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU :—"Oh! there is section 26! I have already put the question and it has just now been answered. I have got one clerk tied to me and the workers will be tied down. They are ordinarily employed on monthly wages. Are they to be retained by me if the Inspector says: 'You retain them'. Till the shop goes away or it is closed down they cannot be sent out. Even then some difficulty may arise. Please answer my question definitely. For what time and for what purpose will the Inspector send back these workers? Secondly I want to know also whether a contract with workers terminable at will or that they will be employed for a month is not reasonable? Should I still go in to get an Inspector for discharging these workers? I want a categorical answer for these questions. The House will pardon me, Sir, if I am not able to sit here, because I have got work elsewhere which I cannot avoid."

"I am not moving my amendment, Sir, because it is not going to be accepted by the Government."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—"The rule relating to this matter is rule 105, Sir. It says:

'On the day on which such motion is set down in the list of business, the member in charge of the Bill may move that the Bill be taken into consideration. On that date or on any subsequent day to which the discussion of the motion is postponed, the principle of the Bill and its general provisions may be discussed . . .'

Rule 63 relates to the adjournment or postponement of a meeting or business."

[8th November 1947]

MR. PRESIDENT :—" This is not an adjournment motion."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" It wants the business to be postponed."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" For that no notice is necessary."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" No notice is necessary. But a motion for postponement cannot be moved under the proviso to rule 63."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" No, no. That relates only to any meeting or business fixed by a special order of the President or the Governor. This is not such a thing. Chapter XI contains the general rules of procedure for, among other things, adjournments and amendments. What the hon. Member wants to move is an amendment to the Government motion that the Bill be taken into consideration. Anyhow let us not waste our time as the amendment is not being moved."

(Sri P. R. K. Sarma rose to speak.)

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The hon. Member spoke yesterday."

SRI P. R. K. SARMA :—" I want to speak on the postponement motion."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" That motion is not before the House."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Mr. President, Sir, so far as this Bill is concerned it has not been criticized as severely as the other Bill which we passed to-day. Some hon. Members of the House welcomed the Bill and commended its provisions, while Mr. Narayanaswami Nayudu raised two points for which he wanted answers to be furnished. Sir, before this legislation was undertaken it was ascertained that there were about 45,000 establishments that would be affected. That was the figure available up to 1930 or so. Statistics for the further period were not collected. But the number of establishments will be about 55 to 60 thousands, roughly. There is no regular data about the number of establishments that will be affected by this particular Bill. Mr. Nayudu has been particular in asking about the capital sunk in these establishments, the number of workers, and whether there has been an enquiry into the need for this legislation. So far as non-power factories are concerned there has been a need for this legislation. This kind of legislation has been asked for. I may also inform him that the Government of India have been contemplating the introduction of legislation on similar lines in the near future. There was some correspondence with the Government of India on the matter and I understand that an Act similar to this or in a modified form is likely to be passed by the Government of India. So I can assure the hon. Member that the need for this kind of legislation has been felt all over India and legislation has been taken up in this Province. I may also inform him that similar legislation has been passed in the Central Provinces some years ago. We need not feel as though we are the only

18th November 1947] [Sri H. Sitarama Reddi]

Province who have passed a legislation like this. Even if we are the first Province to undertake legislation like this, the hon. Member must certainly congratulate himself and the Madras Province for having been the first to introduce a good piece of social legislation which is going to protect the interests of the workers.

"My hon. Friend raised the question of 'reasonable cause'. All reasonable explanations have been given with regard to the 'reasonable cause' in connexion with the Shop Assistants' Bill. I am sure my hon. Friend has understood the rationale behind this question, and he appreciates the need for protecting the interests of the poor employees. Being an eminent lawyer himself, I am sure he must have gone through carefully the provisions of this Bill. He must have understood the provisions regarding contract. I may also tell him that my interpretation of the Act is not final. As he knows it is the court of law that is the final authority in the interpretation of an Act and whatever interpretation may be given by him or me is always subject to the decision of a court of law.

"The other question that was put by my hon. Friend was: If a person has entered into a contract with an employer for a period of five years or two years, what happens to him at the end of the contract? He is himself a lawyer, Sir, and I believe that the employee has got a right to go away after the contract ceases and the employer also can release him."

SRI B. NARAYANASWAMI NAYUDU:—"If the Inspector sends back the man how long am I to keep him?"

MR. PRESIDENT:—"Till you find another reasonable cause."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI:—"If the Inspector sends back the man it means there is no reasonable cause for dismissal and the employer is in the wrong. The employee being in the right he should not be penalized by being sent away. I think the employee has got a right to go back to work, as he had been sent out on an unreasonable ground as determined by the authority competent to determine the matter. But, Sir, these are all imaginary fears. The relationship between the employers and the employees is not so bad and is not likely to become so bad as the hon. Member imagines. I am sure, Sir, that as a result of this social legislation the employer will have a satisfied worker and increased production in the small non-power factories.

"The hon. Member must be quite well aware of the deplorable conditions of some of these small non-power factories, for example, the beedi factories and the tanning factories. There is no proper lighting and the workers have not got even water to drink. This legislation will help the provision of amenities like better places to work, better housing conditions and better security. The health of the workers will not suffer and I am sure my hon. Friend would support the Bill and help the Government in the expeditious passage of the Bill in this House."

[8th November 1947]

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Sir, the Government are aware of what is going on in all these factories. That is a thing on which I need not dilate. That there are a number of factories, growing like mushrooms, where people are used most inhumanly and work extracted from them is a thing on which I need not say very much. The report of Dr. Narayanaswami Nayudu on these non-power factories will be an eye-opener to anybody who goes through it. As a Government responsible to the people we cannot sleep over the report that was given to us months ago. We have got to take action on it."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" What is the report about? "

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" The report about the working of the non-power factories."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Was a Committee appointed? "

THE HON. DR. T. S. S. RAJAN :—" Dr. B. V. Narayanaswami Nayudu was asked to investigate the whole thing."

" Sir, it is not as if we are not aware of what is going on in these factories. In fact a challenge has been thrown to us by the report published by him. Under the circumstances, the suggestion that the non-power factories' legislation should be postponed by another year can't bear investigation. The legislation cannot be delayed. We have already delayed it too long and it will be unjust and unfair if we delay it any further."

" My Hon. Friend Mr. Sitarama Reddi mentioned a few industries. Anybody who knows the conditions prevailing in these industries will not only not hesitate to support this Bill but will want to make the provisions more stringent than we have made them. I have personal knowledge of tanneries. The way in which tanneries are maintained and the health of the workers is cared for is something which will not bear open investigation."

" Then there are the beedi factories where small children—hundreds of them of all ages, from 2 years upwards—are employed and they work in groups in small dark, dingy houses, in the backyards and in any unused place. Everything is dumped into it—ordinary leaves, tobacco leaves and everything. They are made to work there under absolutely unhealthy conditions."

" What is it that this Bill has done? It says that you must provide the workers with some comfort and cleanliness about the place of work, some ventilation and so on. The workers should be given an ordinary holiday and so on. The little children should not be made to work. In no country would children of tender age be employed in factories like beedi factories."

" Then there are the salt pans employing hundreds and thousands of people. They are made to work for six months and they are not wanted after six months. Some work for three months and they are not wanted after three months. How are they to live by the industry if it takes them and throws them away as it likes? It is something atrocious. These may not be factories

8th November 1947] [Dr. T. S. S. Rajan]

in the sense that they work in a particular shed or building. These salt workers are in many places employed only for some months and sometimes for some days. They are never kept for the whole season.

"Sir, as a civilized Government we have a responsibility to the workers. India is now an independent country. If we want to deserve our independence we must have a place in the International Labour world. In the Regional Labour Conference at Delhi if anybody has taken care to read the recommendations formulated by it, he would have found what those organizations are doing on behalf of labour. What is more, they insist on all the countries attending the conference to keep up to the standard and publish reports year after year and say what is it they have done for the amelioration of labour. This Conference represents labour all over the world and their recommendations are made part and parcel of the activities of Governments which are considered to be national and free. Since the 15th of August, a Bill of this sort is long overdue and it must find a just and honourable place in the statutes of any nation. After all, what has been done in the Bill? Simply we provide for the regulation of hours of work, grant of holidays, payment of wages, prohibition of the employment of children and generally to see that conditions of labour are such that they will be respectable for any individual to come and work. That is all that the few sections here provide for. The Bill is not a perfect one; it will require amplification in the light of experience gained in its working. If defects are found, we shall certainly rectify them. But on no account can this Bill be postponed even for a minute because it is an imperative necessity. No enquiry regarding employers and workers is necessary. Bulletins have been published, investigations have been conducted, and in the face of all these things, if we keep quiet, we shall be dead to all sense of human sympathy for the overworked sweated labour. This Bill is absolutely essential and very urgent. The few clauses that we have introduced in it provide for just the fair and the minimum rights. Nothing has been done in haste or without consideration. Therefore, I wish that this Bill be taken up for consideration immediately and passed into law at the earliest possible moment."

3-30
p.m.

DR. V. K. JOHN:—"Mr. President, I wish to say a few words and I will take only a few minutes."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI:—"Let him speak at the third reading stage. It is a matter of procedure."

DR. V. K. JOHN:—"It is not right to say that I should speak only at the third reading stage. I want to point out that this Act cannot serve the purpose for which it is intended on account of certain provisions in it coming into conflict with an Imperial Act. If the Government do not want me to point it out, I do not want to speak."

MR. PRESIDENT:—"The motion before the House is that the Bill be taken into consideration. If you have got any suggestion to make, you can speak on some clause when the Bill is taken up clause by clause."

[8th November 1947]

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" There is no immutable rule."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I cannot agree with you there. The Government are called upon to reply after the whole discussion is over."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" The House is in charge of the whole procedure."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" There is no question of standing on technicalities. I am prepared to allow you to speak. You can finish in five minutes."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" I cannot say what all I have to say in five minutes. I would require at least ten or fifteen minutes. If I am not entitled to speak, I don't want to speak. If I am allowed to speak, I want time. I am supposed to be trained lawyer and I may put my case in as brief a time as possible."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" The matter is before me. I hope the hon. Member will understand what I am saying. There is a certain procedure to be observed in the House. After the Member in charge of the Bill has replied, no other member has got a right to speak under the rules. But I am prepared to allow you to speak and you must respect my wish about time. There is no room for misunderstanding."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" I only want to assist the Government. If it is not considered by the Government to be of assistance to them, I do not want to speak at all."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" May I suggest that the hon. Member need not concern himself with the Government. He and I are the persons concerned."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" Then, I request the Hon. President to allow me ten or fifteen minutes to express my view in the matter. If that cannot be conceded, I will content myself that that is all the privilege that a member can have."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I just want to know whether he cannot speak on any clause."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" The nature of the case is such that it cannot be referred to on any clause. At the third reading stage when the Bill is about to be passed, my saying anything will not be of any use. In any case, every member of this House and the Government are on trial. What I speak sometimes get into the papers "

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Let the hon. Member go on, but this will not be a precedent."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" What I want to say is this. It would appear that this Bill was prepared without taking into consideration the Factories Act, 1934, as amended by the Factories (Amendment) Act, 1940. I will give the reason for my statement.

8th November 1947]

[Dr. V. K. John]

"According to section 2 (1) (g) of this Bill :

" non-power factory " means any place or premises including the precincts thereof wherein ten or more workers are working or were working on any one day of the preceding twelve months and to which the Factories Act, 1934, does not apply and wherein one or more of the industries or handicrafts specified in the Schedule is, or are being carried on.

"According to section 2 of the Factories Act, 1934,

" factory " means any premises including the precincts thereof whereon twenty or more workers are working, or were working on any day of the preceding twelve months, and in any part of which a manufacturing process is being carried on with the aid of power, or is ordinarily so carried on, but does not include a mine subject to the operation of the Indian Mines Act, 1923.

and

" power " means electrical energy, and any other form of energy which is mechanically transmitted and is not generated by human or animal agency.

"According to section 59A (1) in Chapter V-A of the Factories Act as amended in 1940

' unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context, " small factory " means any premises including the precincts thereof whereon ten or more, but less than twenty workers are working or were working on any day of the preceding six months, and in any part of which a manufacturing process is being carried on with the aid of power, or is ordinarily so carried on, but does not include a mine subject to the operation of the Indian Mines Act, 1923.

When the 1934 Act was passed, the intention of the Indian Legislature was to apply the Act to factories run with the aid of power where there were twenty or more workers. By the Amendment Act of 1940, small factories run with the aid of power where there were ten or more, but less than twenty workers were also included, with the result that the Act as amended in 1940 applies to practically all factories where power is used.

" If you look at the Schedule to this Bill, you will find that there are 31 items in it. In most of the items like match factory, printing and binding, engineering and blacksmithy, I am sure power will certainly be used and they will attract section 59A of the Central Act."

SRI P. R. K. SARMA :—" Not necessarily."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" The designation itself is ' non-power ' factory."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" Let me make it clear to my non-lawyer friends. According to the definition of ' non-power factory ' this Bill will apply to factories with ten or more persons and to which

[Dr. V. K. John] [8th November 1947]

the Factories Act, 1934, does not apply. Therefore, what we have got to see is whether a factory—whether you call it a power factory or non-power factory—attract section 59-A of Chapter V-A of the Central Act. If we exempt all the factories to which section 59A applies, we will have very few factories to which this Bill will apply."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Do those two sections in the Central Act refer to power factories or non-power factories?"

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" As I said, power is defined in that Act as electrical energy, and any other form of energy which is mechanically transmitted and is not generated by human or animal agency. What you call a non-power factory in this Bill should be a factory in which no kind of power is transmitted. Can you find a match factory, printing and binding, and engineering and blacksmithy where no mechanical energy is transmitted? Therefore, what I am submitting to the House is that, as this Act will apply only to factories to which the Central Act does not apply, we are limiting its application to very few cases.

" Then we come into another conflict. When a major power factory and a small power factory are governed by one law which is less strict, it is not in order for us to put in more stringent condition for all non-power factories. That we are actually guided by the old Act is clear from the definition. I will cite only two instances."

3-45 P.M. MR. PRESIDENT :—" Are the provisions of the Factories Act more stringent?"

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" What I mean to say is that the draftsman of this Bill had, in fact, in mind only the Factories Act of 1934, and not the subsequent amendments to it, Sir.

" Now, let us take the definition of the word 'time'. According to this Bill references to time of day are references to Indian Standard Time which is five-and-a-half hours ahead of the Greenwich Mean Time. This is an exact copy of what is contained in the 1934 Act. Otherwise, we could very well have stopped with the words 'Indian Standard Time'. The draftsman of this Bill has simply copied down the definition of 'time' *in toto* from the 1934 Act, without giving a moment's thought whether these words are appropriate or not.

" Now, taking the Companies Act, again for example, there are three kinds of companies in existence—public companies, private companies and subsidiary companies of public companies. The Companies Act has been amended from time to time and the present position is that a private company must have at least one director if it is not a subsidiary company of a public company in which case there must be three directors. The 1936 Amending Act has made a lot of changes in the Company law, but we still find that provisions are bodily incorporated by copying them from old Acts without knowing that the Company's Act was amended in 1936. What I therefore mean to say is that we have simply copied down certain provisions of the old Factories Act of 1934, which is a

8th November 1947] [Dr. V. K. John]

Central Act and say that this will not apply to the factories which are not attracted by the Central Act. I say, Sir, that if we apply the provisions of the amended Central Act, dealing with small factories, we must exclude most of the industries mentioned in the Schedule to this Bill. I do not know whether the draftsman of this Bill had ever in mind that there was a recent amendment to the 1934 Act which covers many of the small factories referred to in the Schedule. In that Amending Act, you will find a new section 59-A introduced by a new Chapter V-A.

" Now, Sir, take again contracts. Contract of service has been clearly defined in the Central Act and the relations between the employer and the employee have also been laid down therein. That has not been taken into consideration at all in the drafting of clause 26 of this Bill which lays down the circumstances under which an employer can dispense with the services of his employee and this again is an exact copy of Section 41 (1) of the Shops and Establishments Bill which we have passed this morning, and which we criticized.

" Sir, let me further explain how the drafting of this Bill is defective in so many other ways. Take the first section of this Bill itself and you will find how badly worded it is. What does it say? It says :

1. (1) *That this Act may be called the Madras Non-Power Factories Act of 1947.*
- (2) *It extends to the whole of the Province of Madras.*
- (3) *This section shall come into force at once and the Government may, from time to time, by notification apply the remaining provisions of this Act to the whole or any portion of the Province of Madras from such date as may be specified in the notification.*

This is very badly drafted and it is perhaps copied down from some antiquated Act, not knowing the real implications thereof. The draftsman of this section says that this section and not the whole Act shall come into force at once. What is the object of this section alone coming into force at once, without the rest of the provisions of this Act? It does not say that sub-sections (1) and (2) will come into force at once.

" Therefore, Sir, I say, that this is a piece of jumble, copied down from various antiquated Acts. It is very narrow in its scope and very limited in its application. It is inconsistent with the provisions of the Central Act, dealing with big and small factories. This Act interferes with the sanctity of contracts. It is badly worded and its reference to the Greenwich Mean Time is quite unnecessary. Even the copying is done in ignorance, without understanding, without discrimination and without giving any thought to the provisions which are copied and the language used even in the very first section of this Bill is defective. Sir, such is the piece of legislation conceived by the Ministry that is scught to be thrust on the people of this Province! "

Mr. PRESIDENT :— " What is the harm in sub-clause (3) saying that section 1 comes into force immediately? "

[8th November 1947]

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" What is the object of section 1 alone coming into force at once without any other subsequent provisions of the Bill coming into force? That is the material point in this Bill. The name of this Act and its jurisdiction can come into force at any time, when all the other provisions come into force. There is no meaning in saying that section 1 alone comes into force at once, and that the other material provisions of this Act, namely, section 2 to the end of the Act, will come into force from such date as the Government may specify by notification that they shall apply to the whole or any portion of the Province."

MR. PRESIDENT :—" Section 1 says that the name of the Act and the power given to the Government to extend the Act to the whole of the Province shall come into force at once. I cannot understand what is wrong in it."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—" What I have been elaborating so far, and what I am trying to bring to the notice of this Government is this, Sir. Here we are introducing a certain labour legislation in this Province, the provisions of which are already covered by the Central Act and we must take care to see that the provisions contained in this enactment are not inconsistent with those in that Central Act and that if the Central Act has undergone any amendments in the meanwhile, we should not merely copy down the definitions and provisions bodily from the old Act, without taking into consideration the subsequent amendments. That is all the purpose of my speech, Sir. It is wrong to say that in a private limited company, it is the shareholders that are liable for its management and not the directors. Cannot this Government find any lawyer to help them in the drafting of this Act or have they not got a drafting section knowing the law on these subjects? I therefore submit, Sir, that the drafting of this Bill is very defective in that some of its provisions have been merely copied down from some antiquated Central Act without any idea, without any knowledge or without any information that they have been amended later on."

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Sir, when my hon. Friend; Dr. John, started criticising this Bill and began to quote section 59-A of Chapter V-A of the Central Act, I thought that something very great was going to come. There is nothing new about what he has pointed out. This Act clearly applied to non-power factories and all, what my hon. Friend has said was with reference to power factories. There is a clear distinction between what my hon. Friend says and what is contained in this Bill and so I feel that there is no need to revise this Act on the ground that it applies only to very few factories. Sir, the object of this Bill is to bring relief to the workers in factories whether they are few or many.

" My hon. Friend was suggesting that there are some match and other factories which are using power. If they use power, they will come under the Power Factories Act, which is an India Act and so there is nothing inconsistent or conflicting in this Bill which would offend the provisions of the Central Act. Under this legislation, we are taking powers, while we have no powers

8th November 1947] [Sri H. Sitarama Reddi]

at present, to compel the employer to provide certain amenities to the workers in private factories. The whole object in bringing forward this legislation is to protect the workers who are not protected by the Central Act. If the Central Act protects all the workers, then there will be no need for this Government to enact a measure of this kind. It is because the Central Act is not wide enough to cover the workers contemplated under this Bill, that we have brought forward this Bill. That is the answer, Sir, that I have to give to my hon. Friend.

"Sir, my hon. Friend was also trying to make much of the Greenwich Mean Time and certain other things. I do not know, Sir, when he has suddenly begun to be fond of the Indian time. The Greenwich time is recognized all over the world as the standard time and there is nothing wrong, because it is a British town, to mention it in this Bill. I am glad, Sir, that my hon. Friend has suddenly become so national in his attitude towards this Bill."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"The question is that this Bill to regulate the conditions of labour and to make provision for its welfare in places and premises to which the Factories Act, 1934, does not apply in the Province of Madras as passed by the Assembly (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1947) be taken into consideration at once."

4 p.m.

The motion was put and carried.

Clauses 2 to 47 were one by one put and carried.

Schedule.

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—"Mr. President, Sir, as I was listening to the speech of the hon. Member, Dr. John, I was wondering why Government were so obstinate in not taking into confidence such legal experts as my friend Dr. John and avoid the criticism that he has been consistently levelling at this Government of bad drafting. I too feel, Sir, if some of us had been taken into confidence, we too would have suggested, not in the drafting, but at least the addition of a few industries in the schedule. In the original Bill, there were only 30, and it has now become 31."

MR. PRESIDENT :—"I suppose the usual provision for notification is there?"

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—"Yes; I have suggested in my amendment four or five. Perhaps there are others we would have suggested if we had been consulted. As the Government are not prepared to include even in the Schedule my amendments, I can only appeal to them to use the power of notification and see especially that the jewellery workshops, a large number of which are in the Mint street and other places where sweated labour is very prominent, are also included in the Schedule. Sir, I do not propose to move my amendment, as I know what the fate of that amendment will be"

MR. PRESIDENT :—"There is no question of fate; I think the Government will certainly consider it."

[8th November 1947]

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—“ I hope the Government will take the earliest opportunity to include some more non-power factories or industries in the Schedule, especially those I have in my mind, i.e., the jewellery workshops, glass bangle manufacture and cumbli-weaving. I hope they will do so. This morning we passed the rule regarding the constitution of joint committees. I hope the Government will see that the legal experts of this House are always included in the joint committee, so that there may be no question of anybody objecting to the drafting of the Bills that may be brought forward in the future.”

The Schedule was put and carried.

Clause 1.

Clause 1 was put and carried.

Preamble.

The Preamble was put and carried.

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—“ Sir, I am grateful to the hon. Members of the House for the expeditious passage of this Bill and also for the very helpful criticisms that they have offered. I may assure you that the Government will note, when the Act is applied, the various criticisms levelled against it, and in its working, if there are any defects noticed, they will carefully have them examined and do what is necessary to remedy them. Sir, I am also grateful to all hon. Members for their hearty co-operation in the passing of this Bill. I now move that the Bill be passed into law.”

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ The question is—

‘ That the Bill to regulate the conditions of labour and to make provision for its welfare in places and premises to which the Factories Act, 1934, does not apply, in the Province of Madras, L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1947 as passed by the Assembly, be passed into law.’ ”

The motion was put and carried.

IV.—ANNOUNCEMENT RE AUTHENTICATION OF THE AMENDMENTS TO RULE 111 OF THE MADRAS COUNCIL RULES.

Rule.

MR. PRESIDENT :—“ Under rule 137 of the Madras Council Rules, I inform the House that a copy of the amendment to rule 111 of the Madras Council Rules, viz.—

‘ (a) For the last two lines of sub-rule (1), after the word “ Bill,” the following shall be substituted :—

“ and such a motion shall state the total number of members of both Houses to be appointed to the Committee.”

(b) At the end of sub-rule (2), the following shall be added :—

“ to the appointment of a Joint Committee and its proposed total strength and requesting it to nominate the members required under sub-rule (4).”

8th November 1947]

(c) For the proviso to sub-rule (3), the following shall be substituted:—

“ Provided that the member in charge of the Bill, and the Member of Government in charge of the department to which the Bill relates, shall be nominated as members of the Joint Committee by the House or Houses of which they are members.”

(d) For sub-rule (4), the following shall be substituted:—

“ (4) The total number of members of a Joint Committee shall not exceed 30 and on every Joint Committee, the number of members to be nominated by the Assembly and the Council shall be in the proportion of 2 : 1.”

passed by the Council has been signed by me.”

The House then adjourned to meet again at 11 a.m. on the 4th December 1947.



[8th November 1947]

APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to starred question No 143 asked by Sri R. Suryanarayana Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 8th November 1947, page 762 supra.]

LIST OF NEWSPAPERS SUITABLE FOR GOVERNMENT ADVERTISEMENTS.

Madras City.

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. Mail. | 26. Navodaya. |
| 2. Hindu. | 27. Bharat Devi. |
| 3. Dhinamani. | 28. Free Press. |
| 4. Ind an Express. | 29. Dinasari. |
| 5. Swadesamitran. | 30. Sunday Herald. |
| 6. Andhra Patrika. | 31. New Times. |
| 7. Sunday Times. | 32. Dhanka. |
| 8. Andhra Prabha. | 33. Anandavani. |
| 9. Ananda Vikatan. | 34. Madras Commercial Review. |
| 10. Hanuman. | 35. Matru Bhumi. |
| 11. Hindustan. | 36. Tamil Murasu. |
| 12. Tamil Mani. | 37. Picture Post. |
| 13. Liberator. | 38. Silpi; Andhra Silpi. |
| 14. Musalman. | 39. Prajabandhu. |
| 15. Vinodhan. | 40. Jayakeralam. |
| 16. Vinodhini. | 41. Indian Journalist. |
| 17. Sunday Observer. | 42. Surya Prabha. |
| 18. Shakti. | 43. Commercial Progress. |
| 19. Kalki. | 44. Chitrangi. |
| 20. Jadeed Dilchasp. | 45. Sirpi. |
| 21. Navajeevana. | 46. Tamil Kalai. |
| 22. Indian Women's Civic Corps Journal. | 47. Samata. |
| 23. Swatantra. | 48. Munnetram. |
| 24. Thyagi. | 49. Azad Nowzawan. |
| 25. Jai Bheem. | 50. Andhra Herald. |

Anantapur District.

Sri Sadhana Patrika.

North Arcot District.

Thennadu.

Bellary District.

Nava Sandesh.

Chittoor District.

Rayalaseema.

Coimbatore District.

- | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Kudiyarasu. | 3. The Coimbatore Times. |
| 2. Kootaravu. | |

8th November 1947]

East Godavari District.

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Sudharma. | 4. Jai Bheem. |
| 2. Praja Patrika. | 5. Venela. |
| 3. Telugu Prema Pracharak. | |

West Godavari District.

Manjuvani.

Guntur District.

- | | |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1. Radical. | 2. Pakistan. |
|-------------|--------------|

South Kanara District.

- | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Swadeshabhimani. | 4. Kanteerva. |
| 2. Rastrabhandu. | 5. Human Affairs. |
| 3. Swatantrabharatha. | |

Kistna District.

- | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Krishnapatrika. | 3. Janmabhoomi. |
| 2. Desa Seva. | 4. Prajasakthi. |

Malabar District.

- | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Chandrika. | 7. The Manorama. |
| 2. Champion. | 8. The Mitran. |
| 3. Powrasakti. | 9. The West Coast Spectator. |
| 4. The Malabar Herald. | 10. Janasakthi. |
| 5. Mathru Bhumi. | 11. The West Coast Reformer. |
| 6. Harbinger. | |

Nellore District.

Zamin Ryot.

Nilgiri District.

South of India Observer.

Ramnad District.

- | | |
|-------------|---------------|
| 1. Kumaran. | 2. Chettinad. |
|-------------|---------------|

Tanjore District.

- | | |
|----------------------|----------------|
| 1. Yatharthavachani. | 3. Catholican. |
| 2. Nava India. | |

Trichinopoly District.

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Shivaji. | 3. Dravidamani. |
| 2. City Herald (Nagara Thoothan). | 4. Samarasam. |

Vizagapatam District.

Visakha Patrika.

Madura District.

Thanthi.

[8th November 1947]

APPENDIX II

[Vide answer to starred question No. 146 asked by Sri P. Veera-bhadraswami at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 8th November 1947, page 764 supra.]

List of irrigation schemes (major and minor) proposed for Vizagapatam district for which plans and estimates are either ready or are being made ready.

PART I.

SCHEMES FOR WHICH PLANS AND ESTIMATES ARE READY.

(i) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the village.	Name of work.	Estimated cost. RS.
<i>Yellamanchili taluk.</i>		
Repairs to—		
1 Duppituru	Ura tank	325
2 Do.	Bargu tank	100
3 Do.	Pudiva tank	80
4 Do.	Korukondavani tank	50
5 Do.	Lakshmudu tank	220
6 Do.	Avara tank	42
7 Do.	Pinjiruru tank	95
8 Do.	Kunesetti Naljivani tank	560
9 Bhogapuram	Vinayakuni tank	150
10 Duppituru	Dharma tank	400
11 Gorapudi	Modivani tank	135
12 Do.	Paidamma tank	225
13 Do.	Kotha tank	145
14 Do.	Pothanna tank	60
15 Dibbapalem	Bahhu Banda	135
16 Do.	Marala tank	300
17 Do.	Kurumala tank	390
18 Do.	Boyalamma tank	370
19 Maruturu	Kathumuruvani tank	185
20 Do.	Kotha tank	275
21 Do.	Rajani Rathi tank	280
22 Koravalupalli	Uppara tank	100
23 Rajakoduru	Konavadi tank	200
24 Do.	Turakavani tank	100
25 Do.	Bejjivadakkannaya	140
26 Do.	Jangaru tank	150
27 Do.	Nagireddivani tank	185
28 Do.	Rushmikonda tank	250

Golgonda taluk.

Repairs to—		
29 Buchampeta	Varajallavani tank	626
30 Do.	Yerra tank	345
31 Do.	Budanna tank	85
32 Sarabavaram	Muriki banda	28
33 Nandigonda	Raddi Urayya tank	145
34 Do.	Vodivani tank	180
35 Do.	Thummanna banda	200
36 P. M. Peta	Vuravani tank	120
37 B. P. Patnam	Pedda tank	790
38 Tutipala	Vilapattivani tank	420
39 Vajragada	Sethivani tank	125
40 Do.	Gangavani tank	110
41 Kothakota	Sirichedurni tank	400
42 Vajragada	Chintalu alias Vedlavani tank	215
43 Vemulapudi	Somayajulu tank	155
44 Yerakampalam	Surla Buchayya tank	160
45 Amalapuram	Gadabapalem tank	125

8th November 1947]

Serial number and name of the village.

Name of work.

Estimated cost.

RS.

Golgonda taluk—cont.

Repairs to—

46	Balighattam	Mamidimanu tank	145
47	Yendapalli	Challavani tank	75
48	Duggada	Appireddivani tank	150
49	Yendapalli	Medisethivani tank	130
50	Do.	Voddi tank	115
51	Amalapur	Popala tank	55
52	Pamulavaka	Dibbadivani tank	200
53	K. D. Peta	Yerakampeta tank	200
54	Bhaligattam	B. C. Varanna tank	238
55	Do.	Chittivani tank	215
56	Amalapuram	Booddamanu tank	310
57	Yendapalli	Voora tank	980
58	Pamulavaka	Nukalamma tank	1,380
59	Do.	Special repairs to the above tank	625

Flood damages—

60	K. D. Peta	Pedda tank	20
61	Buchampeta	Venkabanda	190
62	Vemulapudi	Narsayya tank	300
63	Kothakota	Varakathi tank	290
64	Gummidikonda	Pedda tank	100
65	Bhalighattam	Alluvani tank	100

Gudem taluk.

Repairs to—

66	Ramanapalli	Pedda tank	520
67	Bangarampeta	Do.	50
68	Ratnapeta	Do.	160
69	Revathi	Tantulu tank	125
70	Sarabhannapalem	Repairs to masonry dam across Tanda- va river.	225
71	Koyyuru	Repairs to flood damages to supply channel of Attili stream.	200

Chicacole taluk.

Repairs to—

72	Boddapadu	Duvvukothakarra tank	100
73	Do.	Jaggili banda	100
74	Do.	Kanagala banda	100
75	Do.	Rachukarra tank	200
76	Budithi	Krishnadora tank	185
77	Do.	Krishnamnaidu tank	130
78	Do.	Nakkamalai tank	95
79	Do.	Kamasalikarra tank	140
80	Do.	Lochumnaidu tank	160
81	Domalapalli	Kotha tank	130
82	Do.	Tivva tank	190
83	Jammivalasa	Bukkadala banda	195
84	Do.	Marrikarra	70
85	Makivalasa	Sobhanadri tank	235
86	Do.	Gangulavani tank	210
87	Purushotapuram	Boyana banda	85
88	Do.	Chinnakayankam	210
89	Do.	Pedda Kayanam	235
90	Do.	Kothakarra	235
91	Ampolu	Linganna tank	330

Narasannapetta taluk.

Repairs to—

92	Akkurada	Chinkunaidu tank	60
93	Do.	Gurugujola tank	105
94	Do.	Garapuvani tank	75
95	Do.	Vullipayala tank	150
96	Do.	Somayaba tank	80
97	Do.	Machavarri tank	120
98	Do.	Ralla tank	160

[8th November 1947]

Serial number and name of the village.	Name of work.	Estimated cost. Rs.
<i>Ichapur taluk.</i>		
Repairs to—		
99 Puthadola	Vanchanna tank	115
100 Rajapuram	Yeera tank	190
101 Karapadu	Mahandra tank	75
102 Belgam	Neradu tank	140
103 Silagam	Purushottam tank	140
104 Do.	Chinki Naidu tank	45
105 Do.	Kotha tank	120
106 Do.	Padia banda	40
107 Do.	Gundam tank	140
108 Do.	Ghaji tank	145
109 Vingiri	Devalam tank	110
110 Do.	Dummi cheruvu	150
111 Rajapuram	Jami Appayya tank	65
112 Do.	Padia banda	100
113 Do.	Mittana hadri tank	75
114 Do.	Jammukulu cheruvu	45
115 Do.	Agulla tank	570
116 Do.	Hinjalla tank	350
117 Karapadu	Kara banda	200
118 Do.	Voora tank	980
119 Do.	Hitta tank	1,280
120 Khojiria	Yerra tank	335
121 Do.	Kothavalu Krishnamma tank	480
122 Do.	Nakka tank	510
123 Kaviti	Pala banda	950
124 Do.	Mangalvani tank	780
125 Do.	Chakrivi tank	440
126 Jagathi	Bhavani Kristappa tank	390
127 Muchandra	Flood damage repairs to dam across Karnam gedda.	560
128 Dharmapuram	Flood damage repair to breach in the bank of Dharmapuram gedda.	1,000

Palkonda taluk.

Repairs to—

129 Venkataputhirajupeta	Gorlavani tank	575
130 Kijanji	Tentuyani banda	440
131 Do.	Manyam tank	290
132 Do.	Dibi Naidu tank	130
133 Do.	Vemureddi tank	650
134 Maruvada	Chowdari tank	690
135 Do.	Paragodi tank	495
136 Do.	Chintala tank	310
137 Do.	Pejareddi tank	85
138 Do.	Vemureddi tank	160
139 Do.	Konda cheruvu	360
140 Do.	Jangam banda	110
141 Iruvada	Penakomati tank	290
142 Do.	R. Venkayya tank	130
143 Do.	Venkatappa tank	680
144 Do.	Puligora and Veddavani tank	145
145 Do.	Peddiviti Yerranna tank	300
146 Do.	Joganna tank	470
147 Chilakalapalli	Papayya tank	900
148 Do.	Pedda cheruvu	600
149 Arasada	Rambhadruni tank	330
150 Do.	Ravikarra tank	330
151 Do.	Barilli tank	80
152 Do.	Pina Sitanna karra	260
153 Velagavada	Voora tank	310
154 Do.	Amadala karra	250
155 Singanavalasa	Lanka tank	130
156 Do.	Boddu tank	150
157 Do.	Seethasagaram	100
158 Do.	Nalla tank	50
159 Voni	Peda Raju tank	265

8th November 1947]

Serial number and name of the village.	Name of work.	Estimated cost. RS.
<i>Palkonda taluk—cont.</i>		
Repairs to—		
160 Voni	Amma tank	150
161 Bukkuru	Koranam Ramayya tank	220
162 Mandarada	Raminaidu tank	240
163 Akkarapalli	Devoji tank	420
164 Do.	Karanganibani tank	900
165 Mullundapuram	Buradavani tank, S. No. 107	150
166 Do.	Buradavani tank, S. No. 142	245
167 Do.	Godevani tank	300
168 Govindapuram	Ragulavani tank	700
169 Do.	Chinnayya tank	100
170 Do.	Voduvani tank	225
171 Do.	Vandanavani tank	210
172 Do.	Vavikapallivani tank	130
173 Chintalapeta	Chilakalavani tank	100
174 Ramarayapuram	Bavaji tank	210
175 Podalai	Bavanavani tank	225
176 Srikonda	Mallidam and supply channel	150
177 Govindapuram	Mudilavani tank	195
178 Gondi	Supply channel to Gondi tank	33

(ii) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the scheme.	Estimated cost. RS.
1 Extension of Mahadevavalasa Distributary of Nagavalli Project.	12,000
2 Constructing a dam across Sarugudagedda in Vedurupalli village limits.	49,000
3 Construction of Polipalli anicut across Gosthani river	2,62,750

PART II.

SCHEMES FOR WHICH PLANS AND ESTIMATES ARE BEING MADE READY.

(i) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the village.	Name of work.	Estimated cost. RS.
1 Chettupalli	Lanka tank	710
2 Narasapatam	Rayapurolu tank	380
3 Manyapuratla	Nimmakattu channel	710
4 Kottamallapetta	Veerapuraju tank	55
5 Do.	Byrasagaram	250
6 Do.	Gungamallavani tank	75
7 Padi	Chintamanu tank	380
8 Yerravaram	Yerra tank	205
9 B. M. Peta	Yerravani tank	240
10 Golugonda	Raju tank	350
11 Pakalapadu	Pedda tank	550
12 Kumarapuram	Musidimanu tank	210
13 Buchampeta	Gaviramma tank	130
14 Chidikada	Poddapuvani tank	160
15 A. I. Puram	Mallampeta tank	110
16 Singandharapalem	Medichettivani tank	240
17 B. M. Peta	Kottavani tank	200
18 Do.	Ayyagari tank	125

Works in other taluks—Nil.

[8th November 1947]

(ii) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the scheme.		Estimated cost.
		RS.
1	Construction of a dam across Sarada river above Devarapalli.	7,50,000
2	Provision of two-feet falling shutters on Boddeti anicut across Sarada river and improvements to irrigation sluices.	7,600
3	Lowering the crest of Yedumalla dam by two feet and installing four-feet falling shutters.	15,000
4	Providing two-feet falling shutters over Latchiraju anicut across Varaha river.	20,000
5	Flood banks to prevent submersion in Kothakota area (Varaha basin).	2,50,000
6	Forming a flood bank to prevent submersion of the tail end ayacut under the Sarada river.	75,000
7	Forming a connecting flood bank from the left side of the Varaha river to join the Malagedda around the Vakapadu area.	3,00,000
8	Remodelling Yedumalla channel (Sarada basin)	15,000
9	Remodelling Kotha Yellamanchilli channel (Sarada basin) ..	12,000
10	Providing two-feet falling shutters on Khandi anicut across Malagedda.	5,000
11	Improvements to tail end anicut across Malagedda	5,000
12	Improvements to and remodelling existing drains and channels (between Gokivadagedda and the Sarada).	Estimate not yet worked out.
13	Improvements to and remodelling existing drains and channels on the left of the Gokivadagedda.	Do.
14	Protecting flood banks on the left and right side of Sarada river and the Malagedda.	Do.
15	Remodelling the Vakapadu channel system taking off above Latchiraju anicut.	Do.
16	Improving the capacity of the Ralla tank in Sarvasiddhi taluk.	Do.

PART III.

SCHEMES PROPOSED TO BE TAKEN UP FOR EXECUTION DURING THE CURRENT YEAR (1947-48).

(i) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the village.		Name of work.	Estimated cost.
			RS.
<i>Golgonda taluk.</i>			
1	Gannavaram ..	Construction of a dam across Yellipudi Veerayyagedda to take Nimmakattuvaka to Mylavani tank.	2,200
2	Do. ..	Construction and improvements to the dam across Malli-udi Veerayyagedda to take water from the gedda to Chintala tank of Gannavaram.	2,500

Works in other taluks—Nil.

8th November 1947]

(ii) SCHEMES IN CHARGE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Serial number and name of the scheme.	Estimated cost.	Item number in lists in parts I and II above.
(1)	(2)	(3)
	RS.	
1 Extension of Mahadevavalasa	12,000	Item (1) in Part I (ii).
2 Construction of Polipalli anicut across Gosthani river.	2,62,750	Item (3) in Part I (ii).
3 Provision of two-feet falling shutters on Boddeti anicut across Sarada river, etc.	7,600	Item (2) in Part II (ii).
4 Lowering the crest of Yedumulla dam by two-feet and installing four-feet falling shutters.	15,000	Item (3) in Part II (ii).
5 Forming a connecting flood bank to prevent submersion under Varaha river and bringing in additional ayacut under irrigation in Vakapadu area.	3,00,000	Item (7) in Part II (ii).

Remarks.—These works are proposed to be taken up in 1947-48 and if this aim is ultimately not achieved the works will be taken up in 1948-49.

APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 149 asked by Sri P. Veerabhadraswami at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 8th November 1947, page 765 supra.]

Statement showing the estates in which the Government have carried out repairs to irrigation works or constructed new irrigation works under the Madras Irrigation Works (Repairs, Improvement and Construction) Act, 1943, the amount advanced in each case, the amount recovered and the amount still due.

Names of estates in which the Government have carried out repairs and/or improvements to irrigation works under the Madras Irrigation Works (Repairs, Improvement and Construction) Act, 1943.	Amount advanced by Government in each case.	Amount recovered.	Amount still due.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Vizagapatam district—			
(1) Mandasa	15,499 0 0	..	15,499 0 0
(2) Patha Tekkali (Senior Zamindar)	6,918 0 0	..	6,918 0 0
(3) Patha Tekkali (Junior Zamindar)	907 0 0	..	907 0 0
(4) Talagam	2,594 0 0	..	2,594 0 0
(5) Salur	2,850 2 0	..	2,850 2 0
(6) Sangaonvalasa 'A' ..	1,085 0 0	..	1,085 0 0
(7) Belgam (Junior) ..	585 0 0	..	585 0 0
(8) Jeypore Kumarikas ..	610 5 6	..	610 5 6
(9) Belgam (Senior) ..	364 0 0	..	364 0 0
(10) Chemudu	843 8 6	..	843 8 6
(11) Regulapadu	4,454 0 0	..	4,454 0 0
West Godavari district—			
(1) Amberipeta	3,472 0 0	..	3,472 0 0
(2) Kummaraunta	2,482 0 0	..	2,482 0 0
(3) Lakkavaram	732 0 0	..	732 0 0
Kistna district—			
(1) Putrela	1,525 0 0	..	1,525 0 0
(2) Chinnampeta	1,000 0 0	..	1,000 0 0
(3) Kotapadu	849 0 0	..	849 0 0

[8th November 1947]

Names of estates in which the Government have carried out repairs and/or improvements to irrigation works under the Madras Irrigation Works (Repairs, Improvement and Construction) Act, 1943.

(1)	Amount advanced by Government in each case.			Amount recovered.			Amount still due.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Nellore district—									
(1) Kamepalle	1,639	0	0	..			1,639	0	0
(2) Koturpalle	424	0	0	..			424	0	0
Chingleput district—									
(1) Vadakudumbadi ..	1,180	0	0	..			1,180	0	0
(2) Tiruvadanathai ..	1,173	0	0	..			1,173	0	0
(3) Zamin Vasirambathi.	1,404	0	0	..			1,404	0	0
(4) Zamin Agaram ..	2,443	0	0	..			2,443	0	0
(5) Zamin Melanallathur.	1,110	0	0	1,110	0	0	..		
Chittoor district—									
(1) Gudipati Estate ..	1,000	0	0	..			1,000	0	0
(2) Punganur Estate ..	270	0	0	..			270	0	0
South Arcot district—									
Melolakkur	12,000	0	0	..			12,000	0	0
Salem district—									
(1) Shulagiri	5,628	0	0	..			5,628	0	0
(2) Alamarathupatti Mittah	1,980	0	0	..			1,980	0	0
(3) Hosapalli Mitta ..	1,320	0	0	..			1,320	0	0
(4) Irumathur Mitta ..	925	0	0	..			925	0	0

NOTE.—No new works have been constructed by the Government under the provisions of the Madras Irrigation Works (Repairs, Improvement and Construction) Act, 1943, in any of these estates.

APPENDIX IV.


[Vide answer to starred question No. 150 asked by Sri R. Suryanarayana Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 8th November 1947, page 766 supra.]

List of subscribed dispensaries.

District.	Modern medicine as on 31st December 1945.		Indian medicine.
Anantapur ..	14		18
North Arcot ..	7		21
South Arcot ..	14		8
Bellary ..	9		8
Chingleput ..	10		15
Chittoor ..	14		12
Cuddapah ..	7		13
Coimbatore ..	25		9
East Godavari ..	33		12
West Godavari ..	19		9
Guntur ..	14		24
South Kanara ..	13		7
Kistna ..	15		9

8th November 1947]

District.	Modern medicine as on 31st December 1945.	Indian medicine.
Kurnool	9	8
Madura	14	3
Malabar	27	16
Nellore	8	22
Ramnad	11	9
Salem — ..	19	13
Tanjore	37	13
Tinnevely .. —	15	3
Trichinopoly —	21	12
Vizagapatam ..	25	1
Total ..	380	265



INDEX TO MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DEBATES

SEVENTH SESSION OF THE 1ST LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL UNDER THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ACT, 1935.

16th, 17th, 19th, 20th, 22nd, 24th September 20th, 21st October,
6th, 7th and 8th November 1947.

Volume XIV (Nos. 1-11).

A

	PAGES
Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur—	
Adjournment motion <i>re—</i>	
Threatened strike of Non-Gazetted Officers	407
Bills—	
Madras Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947	601, 602
Madras City Police and Gaming (Amendment) Bill	603, 604, 605, 606
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohi- bition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	75, 76, 77 79-83
Madras Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	545-547, 549
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	612, 616, 617, 618
.. .. .	774, 776 777, 778, 791, 796-798
Condolence Resolution <i>re—</i>	
Death of Sri A. K. A. Ramachandra Reddiar	303
Resolution <i>re—</i>	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	336-337
Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of Social Welfare	94, 95
<i>See Questions and Answers.</i>	
Acids—	
Question <i>re</i> manufacture of—	69, 70
Adityan, Sri S. B.—	
Bills—	
Madras Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947	601, 606
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	543-544
Madras Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	610
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	632-635 778
Discussion <i>re</i> "Objectionable" speech of a member, deletion of—	521-522
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	170-172 213
Resolution <i>re—</i>	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	333, 334 336 337, 338
Formation of Linguistic Provinces	428, 436 448-450
<i>See Questions and Answers.</i>	
Adjournment motion <i>re—</i>	
Petrol rations for lorries and public carriers, cut in—	130 247-252
Refugees from Hyderabad, influx into Madras Presidency (not pressed)	332, 691-693
Strike of Non-Gazetted Officers (not pressed)	406-407

A—cont.

PAGES

Advertisement tax—	
Question <i>re</i> levy of — by Madras Corporation	401
Advisory Board for Technical Education—	
Election of one member from Legislative Council to—	559-561
Agricultural Colleges—	
Question <i>re</i> admission to—	404, 405
Agricultural produce—	
Question <i>re</i> marketing of — and functions of Marketing Officers ..	72-73
Agricultural Subordinate Service—	
Question <i>re</i> abolition of lower subordinates cadre in the —	28-29
Ahamed Ibrahim Sahib Bahadur, K. T. M.—	
Bills—	
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	551
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	787-789
Madras Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947 ..	411
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	143-146
	179, 183, 186
Resolution <i>re</i> —	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	335, 338, 340-345
Formation of Linguistic Provinces	425, 426
	427, 429, 435, 436, 437, 442
All-India Administrative Service—	
<i>See</i> I.C.S. and listed posts.	
Andhra Paper Mills—	
Question <i>re</i> working of the —	679-680
Assessment—	
<i>See</i> Lands.	
Annamalai University—	
Announcement <i>re</i> election of two members to the senate of — ..	693
Assignment of land—	
Question <i>re</i> ban on—	508-509
Avinashilingam Chettiyar, The Hon. Sri T. S.—	
Demands for grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	279
Motion <i>re</i> election of one member to the Standing Advisory Board for Technical Education	559-560, 561

B

Betterment and inclusion fee—	
Question <i>re</i> levy of — in ayacuts under various irrigation schemes ..	230
Baithavatsalam, The Hon. Sri M.—	
Bills—	
Madras Hackney Carriage (Amendment) Bill, 1947	422-423
Motion <i>re</i> Election of a member to the South Indian Railway Advisory Committee	130-131
Bhima Rao, Sri B.—	
Bills—	
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	524
	537-538, 540
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	702
Condolence resolution <i>re</i> death of Mr. K. O. Antoni and Lieut.-Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar	11
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	138
	160-163, 206
<i>See</i> Questions and answers.	
Bills—	
Abkari (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 30 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	607-608
Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 29 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	600-607
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947, (L.A. Bill No. 26 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	522-559

Bhima Rao, Sri B.—cont.

Bills—cont.

Hackney Carriage (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 18 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	422-423
Madras City Improvement Trust (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 23 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly Considered and passed into law	425
Madras City Police and Gaming (Amendment) Bill (Non-official)—Leave to introduce (refused)	75-84
Municipal and Local Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 32 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly considered and passed into law	694
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly—	
Considered	721-723
Passed into law	800-814
Placed of Public Resort (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 33 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	814
Prisons (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1947, (L.A. Bill No. 19 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	694-695
Prisons (Madras Amendment No. II) Bill, 1947, (L.A. Bill No. 20 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	423
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947, (L.A. Bill No. 31 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	423-624
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 15 of 1947) as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	609-625
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 17 of 1947), Motion re Joint Select Committee, setting up of—	625-643
Tuberculosis Sanatoria (Regulation of Buildings) Bill, as passed by the Assembly, considered and passed into law	695-721
	768-800
Birlas—	
See Oil expellers.	
Block Grants from Centre—	
Question re utilization of—	408-418
Board of Revenue—	
Question re abolition of—	424
Board of Technical Education—	
Announcement re election of a member to the—	
Broadcasting Department—	
Question re qualifications for and scales of pay of various categories of the—	595
Burma beans—	
Question re selling of—	693
Burma and Malaya Evacuees—	
Question re financial assistance to—	
Bus stops—	
Question re—Opposite to Central Station	228-229

C

Caneer—	
Question re treatment of	32
Ceded Districts—	
Question re irrigation schemes under Grow More Food Campaign in—	398-399
Question re measures for rehabilitation and development of—	310
Cement—	
Question re allotment of quota for Madras	489-493
Cement Factories—	
Question re details re existing and new factories	681-682

C—cont.

Central Pay Commission Report—	
Question <i>re</i> adoption of retiring age and scales of pay of—	689
Central Traffic Board—	
Question <i>re</i> instructions issued to District Traffic Board, Chingleput	501-503
Certified schools—	
<i>See</i> 'Children's Home'	
Chandramouli, The Hon. Sri K.—	
Bill—Madras Municipal and Local Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1947.	694
Children's Home—	
Question <i>re</i> inspection of—	593-594
Chlorine—	
Question <i>re</i> production of—	506
Cloth quota—	
Question <i>re</i> reduction of — for the Province	314-315
Clubwala, Mrs. M. N.—	
Resolution—Formation of a Welfare Directorate or department in charge of Social Welfare	84-9, 94 96, 97
<i>See</i> Questions and Answers.	
Coal—	
Question <i>re</i> —	
Allotment of quota for Madras	489-493
Consumption of — in districts	680-681
Commission agents—	
<i>See</i> Sales Tax.	
Committees—	
Question <i>re</i> representation of women in—	690
Condolence Resolution <i>re</i> —	
Death of Mr. K. O. Antoni and Lieut-Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar	8-12
Death of Sri A. K. A. Ramachandra Reddiar	303
Congress House—	
Question <i>re</i> claim for damage done to—	585
Controlled articles—	
Question <i>re</i> distribution of—	217-219
Conversion to Islam—	
Question <i>re</i> —of a teacher in Muslim school	682-683
Co-operative Banks—	
Question <i>re</i> working of — in Rayalaseema	402-403
Corruption—	
Question <i>re</i> allegations of — against Central Nazir, Chingleput District Court	493-494
Cotton Transport Act—	
Resolution <i>re</i> amendment to rules of	421-422
Council Chamber—	
Function <i>re</i> National Flag, installation of—in—	1

D

Daniel Thomas, The Hon. Mr.—	
Adjournment motion <i>re</i> cut in petrol rations for lorries and public carriers	130 247-250, 251, 252
Bills—	
Abkari (Amendment) Bill, 1947	607-608
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	609-612 617, 621-625
Demand for grants for further expenditure for 1947-48	284-288
Dehydrated potatoes—	
Question <i>re</i> contract with Messrs. Parry & Co. for the supply of—	305-307
Demands for further grants for 1947-48—	
Presentation of—	34

	D—cont.	PAGES
Detenues—		
Question re family allowance granted to —		585-586
District Boards —		
Question re abolition of —		40.
District Munsifs—		
Question re selection of — in 1947		393-394
Draft Indian Constitution Bill—		
Resolution re—considered		97-111
Electricity—	E	
Question re villages supplied with —		690
Evacuees—		
Quest on re—		
Care and maintain ing of orphans		117
Madras evacuees from Paki tan, assistance to—		674-676
Executive Officers of Panchayat Boards—		
Que tion re method of recrui ment of —		28-28
Exports—		
Question re details of — to foreign countries		510 511, 512
Expunging of speech—		
— Of Dr. Syed Tajuddin		521-522
Ex-service men—		
Question re re-settlement of —		589-590
Fines—	F	
Question re—under City Police Act—Instructions to Honorary		
Magistrate re—		15-16
Fish—		
Question re transport of — from Ennore		595-597
Food Committees—		
Question re constitution of — in villages		65
Food situation in the Province—		
Debate on —		35-43, 54-64, 131-174, 177-216
Forest contract —		
Question re lease of — in Nilambur Valley Forest		123-127
Ghee—	G	
Question re production, consumption and export of —		16-19
Godowns—		
Question re construction of — in villages		765
Gopala R. ddi, The Hon. Sri B.—		
Bill—		
Madras Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947		600-601, 602, 604, 607
Demand for grants for further expenditure for 1947-48		290-296
Resolution re legisla tion by the Central Legislature in regard to certain matters falling under ' Public Debt of the Province'		418-421
Gopalakrishnayya, Sri A.—		
Motion re Food situation in the Province		207
Gosthani River Scheme—		
Question re examination of feasibility of —		398
Government advertisement—		
Question re selection of news papers for —		761-762
Government colleges—		
Question re rules of admission to —		316-318 683-686
Question re starting of a college for Cuddapah		593
Government House —		
Question re vacant sites and fish ponds in —		220

G—cont.

Government Transport Service—	
Question <i>re</i> details of operation of—in Madras City	22-24
Govindacharyulu, Sri A.—	
Demands for Grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	265-266
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	132, 189
	190-193, 207
See Questions and Answers.	
Grants—	
Question <i>re</i> — for school buildings	70-71
See Block grants.	
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi—	
Resolution <i>re</i> —	1-a-6
Groundnut oil—	
Question <i>re</i> production and export of —	219-220
	308-309
Grow More Food Campaign—	
Question <i>re</i> working of scheme in temple and maths lands	584, 669
Gunny bags—	
Question <i>re</i> purchase of — by Civil Supplies Department	497-498
Gurukulas—	
Question <i>re</i> eligibility of students of — to appear for Government examinations	28

H

Hameed Sultan Maricar Sahib Bahadur, Mr. V.—	
Resolution <i>re</i> formation of Linguistic Provinces	437
Hanulams—	
Question <i>re</i> number of bogus and actual—	504-505
Harijan hostels—	
Question <i>re</i> grants paid to and number of —	74
Hensman, Mrs. M.—	
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	151-153
Resolution—	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	109-110
Formation of a Welfare Directorate or department in charge of Social Welfare	89-90
High school—	
Question <i>re</i> opening of a — at Chipurupalli, Vizagapatam district	71-72
Hope Timber Syndicate—	
See Forest Contract.	
Hospitals—	
Question <i>re</i> —Concession for officers in special wards in —	32-33
Hospital libraries—	
Question <i>re</i> maintenance of —	326-327
House Committee—	
Election of members to the —	34-35, 332
House sites—	
Question <i>re</i> assignment of — in Chittoor Municipality	322-323
Housing—	
Question <i>re</i> construction of houses for Government servants	68-69
Housing Societies —	
Question <i>re</i> working of —	498-501

I

Indian Civil Service and listed posts—	
Question <i>re</i> strength of —	13-14
Indian Constitution—	
Resolution <i>re</i> draft Bill, on—consideration by Provincial Legislature	335-338
	340-345

Indian National Army men—
See Madras Medical Service.

Inclusion fee—
See Betterment and inclusion fee.

India Government publications—
 Question *re* nature of—Subscribed for by Legislative Library .. 587-588

Indian Administrative Service—
 Question *re* constitution of .. 233-235

Industrial Advisory Councils—
 Question *re* constitution of regional and provincial .. 399-400

Industries Department—
 Question *re* extra posts created in—and duties attached to them .. 315-316

Inspector of Boilers—
 Question *re* scales of pay of .. 518-519

Inspector of Factories—
 Question *re* qualifications, nature of work and pay of .. 517-518
 520-521

Iron and steel—
 Question *re* allotment of quotas for Madras .. 489-493

Iron and steel mill—
 Question *re* starting of — in Salem .. 121

Irrigation Schemes—
 Question *re* details of — in Vizagapatam .. 764-765

Irrigation works—
 Question *re* improvement to — in estates .. 765

J

Jagannatham, Sri H. M.—
 Motion *re* food situation in the Province .. 163-165

Resolution *re* formation of linguistic Province .. 441

See Questions and Answers.

John, Dr. V. K.—

Bills—

Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of
 Alienation) Bill, 1947, .. 524-526
 527-532, 544-545, 548

Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947 .. 722, 723,
 807, 808-813

Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947 .. 703-74,
 717, 782-784, 786, 799

Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947 .. 416

Condolence Resolution *re* death of Mr. K. O. Antolzi and Lieut.- Col.
 K. R. K. Ayyangar .. 10

Demands for Grants for further expenditure in 1947-48 .. 266-272

Motion *re* Food situation in the Province .. 141-143

Resolution *re*—
 Formation of Linguistic Provinces .. 429, 436
 441-444, 452

Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of
 Social Welfare .. 95-96

Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi .. 4

Legislation by the Central Legislature in regard to certain
 matters falling under "Public Debt of the Province" .. 420

Legislative Council Rules (Madras)—Framed under section 84 (1)
 of the Government of India Act, 1935—Adoption of — .. 7

Joint Select Committees—
 Amendment to rule *re* — .. 409-417
 598-600, 767-768 814-815

K

Kumaraswami Mudaliyar, Sri M. D. T.—	
Bill—Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	632
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	146-149
	215
See Questions and Answers.	

L

Labour—	
Question <i>re</i> Registration under Trade Union Act of Plantation labour	494-495
Labour troubles—	
<i>See</i> Textile machinery.	
Lakshmanaswami Mudaliyar, Dr. Sir A.—	
Adjournment motion <i>re</i> cut in petrol rations for lorries and public carriers	251
Bills—	
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	538-542
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	612-615
	620, 621
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	714-718
	773, 774, 793-796
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947	409-410
	412-413, 416
Demands for grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	272-279
Resolution <i>re</i>—	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	97-109
	333, 335-336
Formation of linguistic province	444
	457-458
Lands—	
Question <i>re</i> assessment of dry lands in Malabar and South Kanara ..	29-30
Language Test—	
Question <i>re</i> need for continuing—for Deputy Collectors	509
Legislature Secretariat—	
Questions <i>re</i> Superintendent, Cash Current Section, pay of— ..	21-22
Linguistic Province—	
Resolution <i>re</i> formation of —	425-468
Local bodies—	
<i>See</i> Rates and Taxes.	

M

Madhava Menon, the Hon. Sri K.—

Bills—

Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	522-524
	527, 541, 546, 553-559
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	723, 800
	801, 803, 804
Prison (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 19 of 1947).	423
Prisons (Madras Amendment No. II) Bill, 1947	423-424
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	618-620
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	627-628,
	629, 631, 632, 784-787
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947	408-409
	413, 414, 415
Demands for grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	262,
	288-289

	PAGES
Madhava Menon, The Hon. K.—cont.	
Motion re—	
Amendment to rule 111 of the Madras Council Rules (Joint Select Committees)	598-599 767, 768
Food situation in the Province	193-197
Resolution re—	
Amendment to rules under the Cotton Transport Act	421-422
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	338-339
Formation of linguistic provinces	428, 429 461, 462
Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of Social Welfare	96
Madras Information—	
Question re publication of —	762-764
Madras Medical Service—	
Question re recruitment of war returned and I. N. A. men to —	511
Mahatma Gandhi, greetings to — See Resolution.	
Malaria—	
Question re research and control of — in South Kanara district	129
Malaya evacuees— See Burma and Malaya evacuees.	
Manathunainatha Desigar, Sri K.—	
Motion re food situation in the Province	35-37
Manjaya Hegde, Sri D.— See Questions and Answers.	
Marketing Officers—	
Question re functions of —	72-73
Medical Aid—	
Question re in Beltangady sub-taluk	231-232
Medical Colleges—	
Question re rules governing admission into —	324-325 327
Medical Libraries— See Hospital libraries.	
Message from the Assembly re—	
Abkari (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 30 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	598
Petting tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 29 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	598
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408
Hackney Carriage (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408
Madras City Improvement Trust (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408
Municipal and Local Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 32 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	693
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	693
Places of Public Resort (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 33 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	693
Prisons (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408
Prisons (Madras Amendment No. II) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 31 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	598
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 15 of 1947), as passed by the Assembly	598
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1917—Setting up of Joint Select Committee	407
Tuberculosis Sanatoria (Regulation of Buildings) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	408

M—cont.

Mid-day meals to school children—	
Question re discontinuance of —	242-244
Milk and milk products—	
Question re ban on export of —	235-236
Milk powder—	
Question re—	
Import of —	581-583
Re packing contract to Parry & Co.—	669
Mill cloth—	
Question re allotment of quota of —	24-26
Mineral resources—	
Question re nature of — of each district	690
Ministers' tours—	
Question re particulars of —	678
Motion re—	
Election of one member to the Standing Advisory Board for	
Technical Education	559-561
Food situation in the Province	35-43
	54-64, 131-174, 177-216
Motor Transport Co-operative Society for ex-Service Men—	
Question re constitution of and appointment of managers for — ..	307-308
Muslim High School, Bellary—	
Question re —	
Belated payment to teachers of —	320
Shifting to a new building of —	318-320
Muthuranga Mudaliyar, Sri C. N.—	
Bills—	
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition	
of Alienation) Bill, 1947	550-551
Madras Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	609
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	635-636
	637
Motion re—food situation in the Province	165-168
Resolution re—	
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	4-6
Formation of linguistic provinces	455, 462-464
See Questions and Answers.	

N

Narayana Menon, Sri M.—	
Bill—Madras Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill,	
1947	417
Resolution re formation of linguistic provinces	436
	450-452
Narayana Rao, Sri M.—	
Bill—Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	700-701
Motion re food situation in the Province	155, 156
	177-180, 183, 184-188
Narayanaswami Nayudu, Sri B.—	
Adjournment motion re influx of refugees from Hyderabad	
territory into Madras Presidency	691-692
Bills—	
Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947	601
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of	
Alienation) Bill, 1947	532-534
	548
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	800
	801-803 805
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	615-616
	619
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	625, 629
	631, 696-700 768-770, 771, 772, 777,
	779-782, 785, 786, 787, 791-792, 798
Demands for grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	252-254

Narayanaswami Nayudu, Sri B.—cont.

Motion re food situation in the Province	140, 161, 178 206, 208, 215-216	132, 133-
Resolution re—		
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provin-		
cial Legislature		
Formation of linguistic provinces		334, 345
Legislation by the Central Legislature in regard to certain		459-461
matters falling under "Public Debt of the Province"		
See Questions and Answers.		419
Natarajan, Sri K.—		
Bill—Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of		
Alienation) Bill, 1947		534-537
Demands for grants for further expenditure in 1947-48		263-265
Resolution re formation of linguistic provinces		453-455
Newspapers—		
Question re declarations filed for starting		672-674
Nilambur Valley Forest—		
See Forest Contract.		
Non-Gazetted Officers—		
Adjournment motion re strike of		407
O		
Oil expellers—		
Question re installation of— by Birlas in Kurnool		591-592
Oriental Manuscripts Library—		
Question re working of		122-123
Overseers—		
Question re number of — in the Province		764
P		
Panchayat boards—		
Question re classification of		26-28
Panel of chairmen—		
Announcement re		34
Papers laid on the table of the House—		
Acts and Rules—Market Committees—Provident Fund Rules—		
G.O. No. 1888, Development, dated 29th April 1947		44
Appropriation Accounts of Government of Madras for 1945-46		
and the Audit Reports, 1947		44
Bills—		
Abkari (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L. A. Bill No. 30 of 1947), as		
passed by the Assembly		644
Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 29 of 1947), as		
passed by the Assembly		644
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of		
Alienation) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 26 of 1947), as passed by		
the Assembly		561
Hackney Carriage (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed by the		
Assembly		469
Madras City Improvement Trust (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed		
by the Assembly		469
Prisons (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1947, as passed by the		
Assembly		469
Prisons (Madras Amendment No. II) Bill, 1947, as passed by the		
Assembly		469
Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 31 of 1947) as		
passed by the Assembly		644
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947 (L. A. Bill No. 15 of 1947), as		
passed by the Assembly		644

P—cont.

PAGES

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Bills—cont.

Tuberculosis Sanatoria (Regulation of Buildings) Bill, 1947, as passed by the Assembly	469
Elections—	
Instructions to conduct in certain municipalities—G.O. No. 1714, L.A., dated 10th October 1947	643
Municipal Council—Assignment, of colours to candidates—Directions issued—G.O. No. 1535, L.A., dated the 9th September 1947	347
To Kurichi Panchayat Board—Stay ordered—G.O. Ms. No. 1139, L.A., dated 3rd July 1947	44
Finance Accounts of Government of Madras for 1945-46 and the Audit Report, 1947	44
Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940—Government orders <i>re</i> amendments to—	44
Ordinance—Estates Communal, Forests and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Ordinance, 1947	44
Schedule of Supplementary Statement of Authorized Expenditure for 1947-8 as authenticated by His Excellency the Governor	469
Parry & Co.—	
See Dehydrated potatoes—	
Pension—	
Question <i>re</i> increased rates for peons who retired prior to 1st January 1946 also	68
Temporary increase in —	118
Pepper vine—	
Question <i>re</i> exclusion of—from 'garden crops'	73-74
Permit—	
Question <i>re</i> for export of ghee	16-19
Petrol rations—	
Adjournment motion <i>re</i> cut in — for lorries and public carriers	130 247-252
Plantation Labour—	
See Labour.	
Post-war Reconstruction Schemes—	
Question <i>re</i> —	19-21
President, the Hon. Mr.—	
Adjournment motion <i>re</i> —	
Cut in petrol rations for lorries and public carriers	251, 252
Influx of refugees from Hyderabad territory into Madras Presidency	691, 692 693
Threatened strike of non-gazetted service members	406
Announcement <i>re</i> —	
Authentication of the amendments to rule III of the Madras Council Rules	814-815
Letter from India Office <i>re</i> Council resolution on transfer of power to Indian hands	34
Panel of chairmen	34
Bills—	
Abkari (Amendment) Bill, 1947	607
Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947	692, 603 604, 605
Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	524, 525 527, 529, 531, 537 538, 544, 545, 546 547, 548, 551, 552 553, 554
Madras City Police and Gaming (Amendment) Bill, 1947	75, 76 77, 81, 82, 83
Municipal and Local Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1947	694
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	723, 800 801, 804, 805, 806 807, 808, 810, 811 812, 813, 814

President, Mr.—cont.

Bills—cont.

Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947	609, 610
	611, 615, 616, 620
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	622, 623
	625, 626
	627, 628, 629, 631
	632, 636, 695, 697
	702, 702, 705, 706
	713, 717, 721, 723
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947	409, 410
	411, 412, 413, 414
	417, 418

Condolence resolution <i>re</i> death of Mr. K. O. Antoni and Lieut. the Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar	11-12
Discussion <i>re</i> expunging of a portion of Dr. Syed Tajuddin's speech.	521-2
Function <i>re</i> National flag, installation of—	1

Motion *re*—

Election of one member to the Standing Advisory Board for Technical Education	561
---	-----

Food Situation in the Province	35, 131
132, 134, 135, 143, 146, 147, 155, 156, 158, 159, 160, 163, 165, 168, 170	
173, 177, 183, 184, 185, 186, 189, 190, 192, 199, 205, 207, 208, 209, 210	
	212, 214, 215

Resolution *re*—

Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	109, 110, 111
---	---------------

Formation of Linguistic provinces	425, 426
427, 428, 429, 430-1, 435, 436, 437, 442, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453	
	455, 456, 457, 458, 462, 463, 468

Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	6
-----------------------------	---

Legislation by the Central Legislature in regard to certain matters falling under "Public Debt of the Province"	419, 420
---	----------

See Rulings.

Private Presses—

Question <i>re</i> Printing of posters, etc., at—	123
---	-----

Procurement staff—

Question <i>re</i> cost of — from 1945 to 1947	510
--	-----

Producer-Cum-Consumer Co-operative Societies—

Question <i>re</i> working of —	115-117, 394-395
---------------------------------	------------------

Prohibition offences—

Question <i>re</i> number jailed for —	498
--	-----

Provincial Services—

Question <i>re</i> revision of pay of certain —	519-520
---	---------

Public Debt of the Province—

Resolution <i>re</i>	418-421
----------------------	---------

Public Services—

Question <i>re</i> reservation of posts for scheduled classes in—	14-15
---	-------

Pulses—

Question <i>re</i> price of —	758-761
-------------------------------	---------

Q

Questions and answers—

Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur—

Question *re*—

Advertisement Tax, Levy of—by Madras Corporation	401
--	-----

Madras Legislature—Pay of Superintendent, Cash and Current Section	21-22
--	-------

Q—cont.

Questions and answers—cont.

Abdul Latif Farookhi Sahib Bahadur—cont.

Language Test for Deputy Collectors, need for continuing—	509
Prohibition Offences, number jailed for—	498
Road accidents on Vandalur-Padappai Road	240
Sugar Distribution in Chingleput district	395-396

Adityan, Sri S. B.

Question re—

Andhra Paper Mills, working of the—	679-680
Board of Revenue, Abolition of—	595
Burma beans, selling of—	687-688
Cement factories, details <i>re</i> existing and new—	681-682
Congress House, claim for damage done to—	585
Detenus, family allowance granted to—	585-586
District boards, abolition of—	402
Endowments Board, institutions paying contribution to—	583-584
Grow More Food Campaign in temple and mutt lands	584, 669
Madras Information publication of—	762-764
Madras evacuees from Pakistan, assistance to—	674-676
Newspapers, declarations filed for starting—	672-674
Punjabi refugees, settlement of—	670-672
Rice, black-marketing of—	588-589
Separation of judiciary from executive, preparation of historical note on—	586-587
Tree Tax, Levy of—	594-595
Tungabhadra Project, disputes between Madras and Hyderabad <i>re</i> technical matters <i>re</i> —	396-398

Bhima Rao, Sri B.—

Question re—

Agricultural Colleges, admission to—	404-405
Conversion to Islam of a teacher in Muslim School	682-683
Food Committees (village) constitution of—	
Ghee, production, consumption and export of—	16-19
Gurukulas—Eligibility of students of—to appear for Government examinations	28
Handlooms, bogus and actual numbers of—	504-505
Madras Medical Service, Recruitment of war returned and Indian National Army men to—	511
Medical Colleges, details of admission into—	327
Muslim High School, Bellary, Related payment to teachers of—	320
Muslim High School, Bellary, new accommodation for—	318-320
Persons, temporary increase in—	118
Producer-cum-Consumer Co-operative Societies, working of—	394-395
Remission of sentences, particulars concerning—	520
Sales tax on commission agents, levy of—	311-312
Spindles, allotment of—	310-311
Tungabhadra Project, acreage covered, construction of high level channel, etc., in the	66-67
Veterinary College, details of admission into—	320-322
Vidyaratna Thirth Swami, Udipi, conviction of—	676

Clubwala, Mrs. M. N.—

Question re—

Cancer, treatment of—	32
Committees, representation of women on—	690

Govindacharyulu, Sri A.—

Question re—

District Munsifs, selection in 1947	393-394
Teachers under private management, grant of dearness allowance to—	688-689

Questions and answers—cont.

Q—cont.

Jagannatham, Sri H. M.—

Question re—

Scheduled Castes, uplift of—	33
Secondary School-Leaving Certificate text books, profits to Universities on the sale of—	316

Kumaraswami Mudaliyar, Sri M. D. T.—

Question re—

Inspector of Factories, nature of work of—	520-521
Inspector of factories, qualifications and rate of pay of—	517-518
Provincial services, Revision of pay of certain—	519-520

Manjaya Hegde, Sri D.

Question re—

Malaria, research and control in South Kanara district	129
Medical aid in Beltangady sub-taluk	231-232
Midday meals to school children, discontinuance of—in Beltangady taluk	242

Muthuranga Mudaliyar, Sri C. N.—

Question re—

Chingleput Traffic Board, instructions issued by Central Traffic Board to—	501-503
Co-operative Banks in Rayalseema, working of—	402-403
Corruption, allegations of—against Central Nazir, Chingleput District Court	493-494
Fish, transport of—from Ennore	595-597

Narayanaswami Nayudu, Sri B.—

Question re—

Coal consumption in districts	680-681
Electric power, villages supplied with—	690

Question re—

Export to and import from America, details of—	510, 511
Export to foreign countries, details of—	512
Housing Societies, working of—	498-501
India Government publications subscribed for by Legislature Library	587-588
Mineral resources of each district, nature of—	690
Procurement staff, cost of from 1945-47	510
Rice and wheat, import during 1945-47	509
Sterling balance, allotment to provinces	512-514
U. N. O. publications, supply to the Legislature Library	304-305

Subbarama Reddi, Sri L.—

Question re—

Bus stops near Central Station	119-120
Hospitals, concessions for officers in special wards in	32-33
Industries Department, extra posts created in and duties discharged by the incumbents	315, 316

Suryanarayana Rao, Sri R.—

Question re—

Acids, manufacture of—	69, 70
Agricultural produce, marketing of—and functions of Marketing Officers—	72, 73
Agricultural Subordinate Service, abolition of lower subordinates in the—	28, 29
Assignment of land, ban on	508-509
Betterment and inclusion fee, levy of in ayacuts under irrigation schemes	230
Block grants from Centre, utilization of—	221-228
Broadcasting department, qualifications for and scales of pay of various categories of the—	228-229
Burma and Malaya evacuees, financial assistance to—	238, 240
Ceded districts, Irrigation schemes under Grow More Food Campaign in—	398-399
Ceded districts, measures for rehabilitation and development of—	310
Cement allotment for Madras	489-493
Children's home, inspection of the—	593, 594
Chlorine, production of—	506

Q—cont.

Questions and answers—cont.

Suryanarayana Rao, Sri R.—cont.

Questions re—

Cloth quota, reduction of — for the Province	314-315
Coal allotment for Madras	439-443
Controlled articles, distribution of —	217-219
Dehydrated potatoes, contract with Messrs. Parry & Co., for the supply of —	305-307
Dry lands in Malabar and South Kanara, assessment of—	29-30
Executive Officers of panchayat boards—Method of recruitment of —	26-28
Ex-service men, Re-settlement of —	589-590
Evacuee orphan, care and maintenance of—	117
Fines levied under City Police Act—Instructions to Honorary Magistrates	15-16
Government advertisements, selection of newspapers for—	761-762
Government College for Cuddapah, starting of —	593
Government Colleges, admission to —	316-318
	68-686
Grants for school buildings	70-71
Groundnut oil, production and export of —	219-220
	308-309
Gunny bags purchased by Civil Supplies Department	497-498
Hospital libraries, maintenance of —	326-327
House-sites, assignment of — in Chittoor municipality	322-323
Indian Administrative Service, constitution of —	233-235
I.C.S., and listed posts, strength of —	13-14
Industrial Advisory Councils, constitution of —	399-400
Iron and steel, allotment of quotas for Madras	489-493
Medical Colleges, Revision of rules governing admission into —	324-325
Mid-day meals to school children, discontinuance of —	242-244
Milk and milk products, ban on export of —	235-236
Milk powder, import of —	581-583
Milk powder repacking contract to Parry and Company	669
Mill cloth, allotment of quota of—	24-26
Ministers tours, particulars of—	678
Motor Transport Co-operative Society for ex-servicemen, constitution of and appointment of Managers for—	307-308
Nilambur Valley forest contracts, lease of—	123-127
Oil expellers, Installation of — by Birlas in Kurnool	591-592
Oriental Manuscripts Library, working of—	122-123
Paichayat Boards, classification of—	26-28
Pensions to peons	68
Pepper vine, exclusion of — from garden crops	73-74
Plantation Labour, Registration under Trade Union Act of —	494-495
Post-war Reconstruction Schemes	19-21
Private Presses, printing of posters etc. in—	123
Producer-cum-Consumer Societies in Malabar, working of—	115-117
Public Services—Reservation of vacancies for Scheduled classes	14-15
Radio sets manufacture, state aid for—	120-121
Radio Supervisors, conditions of service of—	241
Rates and Taxes, Revenue derived by local authorities from	122
Re settlement operations, abandonment of—	323-324
Rice Mills, ban on—	495-497
Rural dispensaries, Subsidies granted to—	766-767
Salaries, Publication of Report of the Cabinet Sub-Committee on revision of—	590-591
Secondary Schools, average capital expenditure on each student	70-71
Secretariat Departments, working of—	113-115
Secretariat, strength and reorganization of—	232-233
Sir Norman Strathie Engineering Works, working of—	677-687
Subsidy for tank construction, extension of scheme of—to other districts than South Kanara	244-245
Travelling Allowance of Speaker and President, modification of rules governing —	240-241
Tamil encyclopaedia, collection of funds for —	757-758
Tank restoration in Rayalseema, details of	686
Takkavi loans, rate of interest charged for —	507
Teachers, number trained in 1946 and 1947	404
Teachers, uniform scales of pay for —	229-230

Questions and answers—cont.

Q—cont.

Suryanarayana Rao, Sri R.—cont.

Question re—

Technical education, institutions imparting—and their administration	312-313
Textile machinery, Plight of — owing to labour troubles	505-506
Toffee, contract with Farry & Co., for preparation of —	236-238
Tourist trade, Encouragement of —	400-401
Travelling allowance, for peons revised scale of—	118-119
Tungabhadra Industries, Kurnool, conditions for starting of —	678-679
Water rates, levy of — in different districts	245-247
Wells, re-classification of dry lands irrigated by —	405-406

Virabhadraswami, Sri P.

Question re—

Central Pay Commission Report, adoption of retiring age and scales of pay of —	689
Godowns in villages, construction of —	765
Gosthani River Scheme, examination of feasibility of —	398
Government House, vacant sites and fish pond in —	220
Government Transport Service, Madras details re-operation of —	22-24
Harijan Hostels, grants paid to —	74
High school at Chipurapalli, opening of —	71-72
Housing, Construction of houses for Government servants	68-69
Iron and Steel mill in Salem, starting of —	121
Irrigation schemes in Vizagapatam, details of—	764-765
Irrigation works in estates, improvements to—	765
Overseers, number in the province	764
Rulses, prices of—	758-761
St. Aid to companies interested in Radio Sets	120-121
Sugar mills, location and capacity of—	503-504
Water works, laying of pipe line from reservoir to head works in Vizianagar Municipality	129

R

Radio Supervisors—

Question re conditions of service of—	241
---	-----

Rajan, The Hon. Dr. T. S. S.—

Adjournment motion re threatened strike of Non-Gazetted service members	406-7
---	-------

Bills—

Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	526, 547
Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	548
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	806-7
	772, 773
	775, 790
	791, 792
	793, 798
	800
Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947	410-411
	412, 415, 417

Condolence Resolution re—

Death of Mr. K. O. Antoni and Lieut.-Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar	8-9
Death of Sri A. K. A. Ramachandra Redd ar	303
Demand for Grants for Further Expenditure for 1947-48	289-290
Function re—National flag, installation of—	1
Motion re—Food situation in the Province	131, 132
	133, 143, 144, 155, 199, 200-
	214, 215

Resolution re—

Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	339, 346
Formation of Linguistic provinces	425, 427
	431-434, 464-468
Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of Social Welfare	90-94, 97
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	1 a-2
Legislative Council Rules (Madras) framed under Section 34 (1) of the Government of India Act, 1935, adoption of—	6-7

R—cont.

Ramanathan Chettiyar, Sri S. A. S. Rm—

Bill—

Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	549-550
Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	787

Ramaswami Reddiyar, the Hon. Sri O. P.—

Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	188-189
---	---------

Ranga Reddi, Sri N.—

Bill—Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	551-553
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	37-43
Resolution <i>re</i> Formation of linguistic Provinces	455-459

Rates and taxes—

Question <i>re</i> revenue derived by local authorities from	122
--	-----

Refugees—

Adjournment motion <i>re</i> Hyderabad refugees (not pressed)	332, 691-693
Question <i>re</i> settlement by Punjabi refugees	670-672

Remand home—

See Children's Home.

Remission of Sentences—

Question <i>re</i> particulars concerning	520
---	-----

Re-settlement operations—

Question <i>re</i> abandonment of	323-324
---	---------

Resolution *re*—

Amendment to rules under the Cotton Transport Act	421-422
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	97-111
Formation of linguistic Provinces	425-468
Formation of a Welfare Directorate or department in charge of Social Welfare	84-97
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	1a-6
Legislation by the Central Legislature in regard to certain matters falling under "Public Debt of the Province"	418-421
Legislative Council Rules (Madras) framed under section 84 (1) of the Government of India Act, 1935, adoption of	6-8

Revenues—

Question <i>re</i> allocation between Central and Provincial Governments	512-514
--	---------

Rice—

Question <i>re</i> black-marketing of	588-589
---	---------

Rice and wheat—

Question <i>re</i> import during 1945-47 of	509
---	-----

Rice mills—

Question <i>re</i> ban on	495-497
-----------------------------------	---------

Road accidents—

Question <i>re</i> — on Vandalur-Padappai road	..
--	----

Rodriguez, Mr. A. S. T. F.—

Sworn in	1a
------------------	----

Rulings—

Bills—Adjournment of further discussion: A motion for the adjournment of further discussion of the Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill to enable members to give notice of amendments, is ruled out of order on the ground that members have already had two days' time and that rules 102 to 112 relating to procedure with regard to bills originating from the Assembly do not contemplate any motion for an adjournment to enable members to give notice of amendments

Rulings—cont.

Debates—

Objectionable speech, deletion of a portion of a speech of a member to which objection is taken on the ground that it wounds religious susceptibilities is ordered to be expunged at the request of the member who made the speech

521

Language of the House—

A member can speak in any language he chooses, but once he chooses a language, it is better he sticks to it

183-184

Questions—

"Misconduct" of public servants—There is no rule prohibiting member from putting questions impugning any kind of "misconduct" to public servants. But it is better such questions are put only after the matter had been taken to the notice of the Minister concerned and if no satisfactory reply is received ..

597

Resolutions—The objection that a resolution requesting the Government to convey to the Constituent Assembly the opinion of the Council on the necessity of the redistribution of Provinces on a linguistic basis, cannot be considered by the Council as it does not deal with a matter within the cognizance of the Provincial Government, as required under rule 115 read with section 49 (2) of the Government of India Act, is ruled out of order

430-431

Unparliamentary expressions—"Nonsense" in "what is all this nonsense about?" ordered to be withdrawn

615

Rules—

Madras Council Rule—Amendment of rule 111 (Joint Select Committees)

598-599

599-600, 767-768, 814-815

Rural dispensaries—

Question re subsidies granted to —

766-767

S

Salaries—

Question re publication of report of Cabinet Sub-Committee on revision of

590-591

Sales Tax—

Question re levy of — on Commission Agents

311-312

Sarma, Sri P. R. K.—

Adjournment motion re cut in petrol rations for lorries and public carriers

250-251

Condolence resolution re death of Mr. K. O. Anton and Lieut.-Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar

10-11

Demands for Grants for further expenditure in 1947-48

261-263

Scheduled Classes—

Question re —

14-15

Reservation of vacancies for —

33

Uplift of —

Secondary schools—

Question re average capital expenditure on each student in —

70-71

S.S.L.C. Text books—

Question re profits to Universities on the sale of —

316

Secretariat Departments—

Question re —

232-233

Strength and reorganization of —

113-115

Working of —

Separation of judiciary from executive—

Question re preparation of historical note on —

586-587

Shaik Rowther Sahib Bahadur, S. K.—

Motion re food situation in the Province

168-170

Shetty, The Hon. Sri A. B.

Bills—

Madras City Improvement Trust (Amendment) Bill, 1947

425

Madras Places of Public Resort (Amendment) Bill, 1947

694-695

Madras Tuberculosis Sanatoria (Regulation of Buildings) Bill

424

S—cont.

Sir Norman Strathie Engineering Works—	
Question re working of —	677-678
Sitarama Reddi, The Hon. Sri H.—	
Bills—	
Madras Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	721-723 800, 804-806, 809 812-813, 814
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	625, 626 627, 629, 630, 636 638-641, 696, 700 718-721, 769, 774 775, 776, 777, 778 779, 792
Social Welfare—	
See Welfare Directorate	
South Indian Railway Advisory Committee—	
Election of a member to the —	130-131 332
Spindles—	
Question re allotment of —	310-311
State Aid—	
Question re — to companies engaged in manufacture or assembly of radio sets	120-121
Sterling balances—	
Question re “allotment” to Provinces	512-514
Subbarayan, The Hon. Dr. P.—	
Adjournment motion re influx of refugees from Hyderabad territory into Madras Presidency	691, 692 693
Bills—	
Madras City Police and Gaming (Amendment) Bill	77-79, 82
Madras Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947 (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1947).	723
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	631, 632 696, 697, 698
Resolution re—	
Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	336, 345
Legislative Council Rules (Madras) framed under section 84 (1) of the Government of India Act, 1935, adoption of	7-8
Subbarama Reddi, Sri L.—	
Motion re Food situation in the Province	172-173
Sugar—	
Question re distribution — in Chingleput district	395-396
Sugar mills—	
Question re location and Capacity of — in the Province	503-504
Sundarama Ayyar, Sri M. K.—	
Sworn in	581
Supplementary Statements of Expenditure for 1947-48—	
See Demands for further Grants.	
Sundarama Ayyar, Sri M. K.—	
Sworn in	581
Suryanarayana Rao, Sri R.—	
Adjournment motion re—	
Cut in petrol rations for lorries and public carriers	130, 250 251, 252
Influx of refugees from Hyderabad territory into Madras Presidency	691
Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Bill, 1947	524-526 801, 809 813, 814
Madras Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	626, 627 637-638, 769 774, 775, 776 777, 778, 779
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	415-416
Madras Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947	254-261
Demands for Grants for further expenditure in 1947-48	

S—cont.

PAGES

Suryanarayana Rao, Sri R.—cont.

Motion re—

Election of one member to the Standing Advisory Board for Technical Education	560-561
Food situation in the Province	155-160
	207

Resolution re—

Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	335, 345,
Formation of linguistic Provinces	346-347
	427-428
	437-441

Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of Social Welfare	89, 96
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	3-4
Legislation by the Central Legislature in regard to certain matters falling under "Public Debt of the Province"	419-420
See Questions and Answers.	

Swearing in of Members—

Rodriguez, Mr. A. S. T. F.	1 (a)
Sundarama Ayyar, Sri M. K.	581

T

Tajuddin Sahib, Dr. Syed—

Bill—	
Madras Suppression of Immoral Traffic (Amendment) Bill, 1947 ..	416

Motion re—

Food situation in the Province	181-183
--	---------

Resolution re—

Formation of a Welfare Directorate or Department in charge of Social Welfare	95
Statement re expunging certain portion of his speech	521-522

Takkavi loans—

Question re rate of interest charged for—	507
---	-----

Tamil Encyclopaedia—

Question re Collection of funds for—	757-758
--	---------

Tank restoration and construction—

Question re details of—in Rayalaseema	686
Question re extension of scheme of subsidy to other districts than South Kanara	244-245

Teachers—

Questions re grant of dearness allowance to—by Private Managements	688-689
Question re number of — trained in 1946 and 1947	404
Question re uniform scales of pay for — under Post-war education scheme	229-230

Technical education—

Question re—institutions importing and their administration ..	312-313
--	---------

Textile machinery—

Question re flight of — owing to labour troubles	505-506
--	---------

Toffee—

Question re contract with Parry & Co., for preparation of— ..	236-238
---	---------

Tourist trade—

Question re encouragement	400-401
-----------------------------------	---------

Transfer of power to Indians—

Message re letter from India Office	34
---	----

Travelling allowance—

Question re revised scale of — to peons	118-119
Question re rules re travelling allowance of Speaker and President	240-241

Tree Tax—

Question re levy of—	594-595
------------------------------	---------

Tungabhadra Industries, Kurnool—

Question re conditions for starting of—	678-679
---	---------

T—cont.

Tungabhadra Project—

Question <i>re</i> dispute between Madras Government and Hyderabad <i>re</i> technical matters <i>re</i>	396-398
Questions <i>re</i> particulars of area to be irrigated, etc.	66-67

U.N.O. publications—

Question <i>re</i> supply of — to the Madras Legislature Library.. .. .	304-305
---	---------

U

Uppi Sahib Bahadur, K.—

Bills—

Madras Betting Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1947	603, 606-607
Madras Non-Power Factories Bill, 1947	800
Madras Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1947.. .. .	609, 620-621, 622
Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	628, 629, 631, 632, 789-790

Condolence resolution <i>re</i> death of Mr. K. O. Antoni and Lieut.- Col. K. R. K. Ayyangar	9-10
Function <i>re</i> National flag, installation of —	1
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	134, 155, 197-200

Resolution *re*—

Consideration of the Draft Indian Constitution Bill by the Provincial Legislature	338, 339
Greetings to Mahatma Gandhi	2-3

V

Vandalur—Padappai Road—

<i>See</i> Road accidents	
-----------------------------------	--

Veerabhadraswami, Sri P.

Bill—Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	695
Motion <i>re</i> Food situation in the Province	154-155
Resolution <i>re</i> Formation of Linguistic Provinces	441
<i>See</i> Questions and Answers.	

Venkatachalamaji, Sri N.—

Bill—Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	702-703
Motion <i>re</i> Food Situation in the Province	149-151

Venkataswami Nayudu, Sri K.—

Bill—Madras Shops and Establishments Bill, 1947	642-643
---	---------

Veterinary College—

Question <i>re</i> Details of admission into—	320-322
---	---------

Vidyaratna Thirtha Swami, Udipi—

Question <i>re</i> Conviction of	676
--	-----

Vizianagaram Municipality—

See Water works

W

Water-rates—

Question <i>re</i> levy of — in different districts	245-247
---	---------

Water-works—

Question <i>re</i> Vizianagram Municipal Water Works	129
--	-----

Welfare Directorate—

Resolution <i>re</i> formation of —	84-97
---	-------

Wells—

Question <i>re</i> reclassification of dry lands irrigated by	405-406
---	---------

